

VOCATIONALISATION OF EDUCATION IN INDIA:


A REGIONAL PERSPECTIVE

VOL. II

With Compliments From
PROF. S. K. MITRA,
Ex-Director, NCERT

A PRELIMINARY REPORT

226



FOR REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT
LAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY

CHAPTER III

OCCUPATIONAL TYPOLOGIES AND IDENTIFICATION OF DISTRICT LEVEL VOCATIONS

This chapter is concerned with a two fold task. First, we attempt to classify the districts of India into a few typologies on the basis of broad similarity of occupational characteristics within each typology of districts. Once the districts have been classified into a few broad typologies, one can expect to find some common pattern of vocational courses which may be suitable for them. Secondly, the procedure for vocational plan formulation outlined in the last chapter is applied to a few districts out of each typology. The results in respect of the vocational courses suggested for these districts and their approximate in-take strength are then analysed.^{1/} In the next two chapters complementary approaches are tried out on an experimental basis.

III.1 The Occupational Specialisation Co-efficients

In preparing occupational typologies on the basis of Census occupational data, the first problem one encounters is to find some way for measuring occupational similarity when one is dealing with nine occupational divisions, which are further classified into as many as 95 two digit level occupational groups. Even if one confines ones attention to the nine major divisions, clubbed into seven in the Census 1971, the problem of

^{1/} In the final report the results of this procedure would be presented for all major districts.

measuring similarity is not made much easier. For example, if one proceeded to classify the districts into a few groups on the basis of the percentage of working force in one of the occupational divisions falling within a few ranges, say 0-10%, 10-20%, ... 90-100%, this would take account of similarity only in terms of a single occupational division. Districts falling within one range could still differ considerably in terms of the percentage of working force falling within the other occupational divisions.

In order to circumvent this problem, we relied upon a measure which is based on a global view of occupational structure and does not depend upon similarity in terms of any single or specific group of occupational divisions. This measure, the co-efficient of occupational specialisation, is akin to the co-efficient of industrial specialisation and is based on a comparison of the proportionate occupational structure of different districts with some occupational structure treated as the bench mark occupational structure - in the present case the All-India occupational structure. The deviations between a district and All-India proportions of working force employed in different two digit level occupational groups are aggregated after ignoring the direction of deviation. The aggregate of absolute value of these occupational differences for a district is termed the co-efficient of occupational specialisation for that district.^{1/} If this aggregate is multiplied by 100, we get this co-efficient in the form of a percentage. Symbolically the co-efficient of

^{1/} Walter Isard, "Methods of Regional Analysis", Chapter IX.

occupational specialisation may be expressed as:

$$S_j = 100 \times \sum_i \left| \left[\frac{O_{ij}}{\sum_i O_{ij}} - \frac{\sum_j O_{ij}}{\sum_j \sum_i O_{ij}} \right] \right| \dots (1)$$

$j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 380$

Where O_{ij} gives the number of workers in two digit level occupation i in district j and S_j is the value of this co-efficient for district j .

In case a certain district has a percentage occupational structure which is completely identical to the All-India occupational structure, the sum of differences would equal zero, which indicates the maximum possible degree of occupational diversification, for it equals the diversification of occupations for the country as a whole. On the other extreme, if all the working force is concentrated in one single occupational group, the value of this co-efficient would approach 100, if the occupational structure is expressed in percentage terms and unity if expressed in proportionate terms. Within the extremes of zero and one hundred percent, the higher the value of the specialisation co-efficient, the greater does it show predominance of only a few occupational groups in that district. Higher values of this co-efficient and thus greater degrees of occupational specialisation, are likely to be negatively associated with the level of development for, as a general rule, the process of development results in an increase in the degree of diversification and hence in a decline in the value of the co-efficient of occupational specialisation.

For the purpose of estimation of district occupational specialisation co-efficients, the rural and urban working force within each two digit level occupational group of a district was clubbed together, for in case of specialisation co-efficients, there was no sense in finding them separately for rural and urban components of a district. The values of the occupational specialisation co-efficient for 174 districts for which it has been calculated are given in table III.1. Their distribution among the different ranges for the various States, as also for India as a whole, is given in table III.2. As may be observed, the largest number of districts are concentrated in the category of 50-60%. In the highly diversified group of 30-40%, there are 12 districts of which 10 fall within the states of Maharashtra and Gujarat. In the least diversified group of 90-100%, the districts are found mainly in the states of Assam, J & K, Maharashtra and U.P. Since districts of Maharashtra are prominent both in the highly diversified as well as highly specialised occupational groups, this brings out the highly dualistic nature of Maharashtra's economy.

III.2 The Co-efficient of Standardised Occupational Deviations.

Although the districts falling within a 10% range of occupational diversification co-efficients could be considered to be broadly similar in respect of their overall deviation from the All-India pattern of occupational diversification, but they need not be similar in respect of the occupational groups which predominate in those districts and are primarily responsible for deviation from

Table III.1 : Districtwise Occupational
Specialisation Co-efficient (Sj)

<u>Name of the Districts</u>	<u>Specialisation Co-efficient</u> Sj
<u>Uttar Pradesh</u>	
Gurgaon	56.22
Rohtak	65.62
Mahendragarh	74.86
Hissar	52.79
Jind	68.51
<u>West Bengal</u>	
Murshidabad	64.25
Nadia	47.37
24 Parganas	54.14
Bankura	47.82
Burdwan	64.86
Midnapore	54.84
Cooch Behar	56.50
Darjeeling	89.72
Calcutta	65.55
<u>BIHAR</u>	
Shahabad	46.57
Champaran	54.18
Darbhanga	52.37
Monghyr	55.45
Ranchi	50.43
Singbhum	64.47

Table III.2: Frequency Distribution of Co-efficients
of Occupational Specialisation

<u>Co-efficient of Specialisation</u>	<u>Uttar Pradesh</u>	<u>Gujarat</u>	<u>Himachal Pradesh</u>	<u>Jammu & Kashmir</u>	<u>Mahara- shtra</u>	<u>Assam</u>	<u>Punjab</u>	<u>Haryana</u>	<u>Orissa</u>	<u>Bihar</u>	<u>West Bengal</u>	<u>Total</u>
90 - 100	2	-	-	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	1	11
80 - 90	4	-	1	2	-	3	0	0	1	1	1	13
70 - 80	4	-	3	-	-	0	0	1	1	0	0	9
60 - 70	6	1	6	3	-	1	3	2	3	6	3	34
50 - 60	16	7	-	3	7	2	7	2	5	5	3	57
40 - 50	8	7	-	-	7	0	7	0	2	5	2	38
30 - 40	2	3	-	-	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
TOTAL	42	18	10	10	23	10	17	5	12	17	10	174

the All-India pattern. From the point of view of classification of districts into different types this information is necessary in order to reveal not only the magnitude but also the character of deviation from the All-India occupational pattern. It was, therefore, imperative to devise a method which should go beyond the co-efficients of occupational specialisation and reflect differences in character of deviation of a district from the All-India occupational pattern. A simple extension of the methodology underlying the co-efficient of specialisation which comes to ones mind is to try to find out the aggregate value of absolute differences between a district and the All-India occupational percentages separately for all the two digit level occupational groups falling within a single one digit level occupational division. For example, one could sum up absolute differences between a district and All-India percentage of working force engaged in the twenty occupational groups within division 0-1, eight occupational groups within division 2 and so on. Thereafter one could try to classify the districts in terms of the occupational division which accounted for the maximum aggregate deviation, then in terms of division which accounted for the second largest aggregate deviation and so on.

But the flaw from which the above procedure towards identification of typologies would obviously suffer is the fact that one would expect to find a systematic interdependence between the aggregate deviation for different one digit level occupational divisions and the size of occupational divisions. For example, the occupational

divisions 7-8-9, for which data are available only in a combined form, form the largest occupational set in terms of the proportion of working force engaged in them. We, therefore, naturally found that the aggregate deviation was invariably the highest for the 7-8-9 occupational division in all the districts. At the other extreme it was almost always the lowest in case of occupational division ----, which generally engages the lowest proportion of working force. These results obviously cannot be interpreted to imply that division 7-8-9 is the most prominent division responsible for deviation from All-India position in all the districts and division - is every where the least prominent.

To get over this difficulty, it was decided to standardise the aggregate of absolute differences for each occupational division in terms of the relative size of that occupational division. This was achieved by dividing the aggregate absolute deviations from each occupational division by the percentage of total working force engaged in that division. In this way the extra large aggregate deviations in case of enormous divisions like 7-8-9 would get deflated through division by a large denominator while the relative position of small occupational divisions would get upgraded through division by comparatively smaller denominators. The co-efficients thus derived have been designated by us as "standardised occupational deviations", and symbolically their method of estimation may be expressed as:

$$D_{nj} = \frac{\sum_i \left| \left[\frac{O_{mnj}}{\sum_n \sum_m O_{mnj}} - \frac{\sum_j O_{mnj}}{\sum_j \sum_n \sum_m O_{mnj}} \right] \right|}{\sum O_{mnj}} \dots (2)$$

- 54 -

Where O_{mnj} is the number of workers engaged in m th two digit level occupational group within occupational division n in district j and D_{nj} is the co-efficient of standardised occupational division for occupational division n of district j .

III.3 Classification of Districts

The values of the "standardised occupational deviations" for occupational divisions of different districts are given in table III.3. Having tabulated these values, the next task was to devise a method for classifying districts on the basis of these values into typologies having similar occupational deviation characteristics. The method of classification adopted here is a step-wise procedure, the first step being to classify the districts into seven groups depending upon which of the seven one digit level combinations of occupational divisions according to Census 1971 occupied rank I. The rationale of this step is to identify the occupational division which is responsible for the maximum standardised deviation in each district and then to club together the districts for which the same occupational division (or their combination) occupies rank I. A classification of districts based on rank I is given separately for each state in table III.4. As may be observed, in almost all the states, rank I in terms of standardised deviations is occupied either by the occupational division 2, which pertains to "Administrative, Executive and Managerial Workers", or by division 6, which

covers "Farmers, Fishermen, Hunters, Loggers and related workers". Only in case of Maharashtra, division 7-8-9, which covers "Production and related workers", is of some importance in giving rank I to a few districts. Barring this the exceptions are rather rare.

Since the majority of districts get clustered under occupational divisions 2 & 6 in terms of rank I, in step two it was decided to further classify the districts falling under divisions 2 & 6 into the remaining five divisions depending upon which of the five one digit level occupational divisions (or their combination) occupied rank II among these districts. The objective of this was to identify districts in terms of the second most important occupational division responsible for standardised occupational deviation. In case of a good many districts, the districts which fell within occupational division 2 in terms of rank I, at this second stage they came within division 6, or vice-versa. In other words, in a substantial number of districts rank II also went to divisions 2 or 6, but to a lesser degree than in terms of rank I. In the case of many districts in Maharashtra, Punjab and U.P., division 5, covering "Service workers", came to occupy rank II. In case of U.P. occupational divisions 4 and 7-8-9 also accounted for rank II in case of a sizeable number of districts.

In view of the predominance of divisions 2 & 6 in terms of ranks I ~~and~~ as well as rank II, it was imperative to further classify the districts falling within divisions 2 & 6 in terms of their rank III. We

thus got the third layer of districts, in case of which rank III has also been taken account of for determining their class. In layer one we had districts where rank I by itself, if going to divisions other than 2 & 6, was used to determine the class. In layer two we had districts where two ranks, I & II, were taken into account for classification. After obtaining third layer districts, at the final stage we clubbed together some of the smaller categories within each layer, specifically where rank I & II went to the same two occupational divisions, only the order of rank being reverse. The final list of occupational typologies and the districts falling within them is presented in table III.5, where A, B & C pertain to typologies belonging to layer one, two & three respectively. The classes which have been clubbed together to form a single typology are indicated within brackets appearing adjacent to typology number. The sequence of numbers within each bracket indicates the divisions which got ranks I, II and III in case of districts covered by a typology. For example, in typology C 2, we had districts in which ranks I, II & III went to occupational divisions 6, 2 & 5 respectively as also the districts where these ranks went to divisions 2, 6 & 5 respectively.

The above scheme of occupational classification of districts is derived exclusively with reference to ranks in terms of the "standardised occupational deviations", without any recourse to occupational specialisation co-efficients. It would be worthwhile to introduce further sub-classification within the typologies derived above on the basis of the co-efficients of occupational specialisation

For example, if we consider districts with specialisation co-efficients above 70% as occupationally specialised and those in the range 30-70% as occupationally diversified, we shall have double the above number of occupational typologies, since each typology of table III.5 would be sub-divided into occupationally specialised and occupationally diversified groups. As at present calculations are available only for about 175 districts, this further sub-classification is not presented. But when estimates of the two types of co-efficients become available for all the districts, the complete list of typologies can be prepared. The final scheme of envisaged classification for deriving occupational typologies may thus be schematically presented as in table III.6. (Diagram 2.)

III.4 An alternative basis for typological classification

The above scheme of classification is based on a ranking of the co-efficients of "standardised occupational deviations" for the main occupational divisions. As explained in Section III.2 above, the "standardised occupational deviations" are an extension of the concept of co-efficient of occupational specialisation, meant to determine the relative contribution of different occupational divisions in generating the deviations of district level occupational structure from the All-India occupational structure. As in case of the co-efficient of occupational specialisation, so also in case of the standardised deviations, the aggregate deviations were

Diagram 2 Scheme of Classification for Deriving Occupational Typologies




Table III.3 : Standardised Occupational Deviations (Dvj)

<u>Districts</u>		<u>01</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7-8-9</u>
<u>HARYANA</u>								
Gurgaon	Dvj	.30	.76	.19	.27	.62	2.70	.59
	Rank	5	2	7	6	3	1	4
Rohtak	Dvj	.31	.34	.67	.69	.64	5.38	.56
	Rank	7	2	4	3	5	1	6
Mahendra- garh	Dvj	.42	.92	.57	.27	.63	2.74	.77
	Rank	6	2	5	7	4	1	3
Hissar	Dvj	.44	.64	.41	.49	.55	4.09	.41
	Rank	5	2	6	4	3	1	7
Jind	Dvj	.25	.77	.39	.56	.79	1.35	.69
	Rank	7	3	6	5	2	1	4
<u>ORISSA</u>								
Balsore	Dvj	.55	.42	.37	.26	.44	.84	.69
	Rank	3	4	6	7	5	1	2
Sambalpur	Dvj	.07	2.20	.61	.55	.24	1.13	.44
	Rank	7	1	3	4	6	2	5
Mayurbhanj	Dvj	.45	1.39	.51	1.12	.59	.88	.70
	Rank	7	1	6	2	5	3	4
Sundergarh	Dvj	.36	.32	.30	.72	.52	1.01	.74
	Rank	6	1	7	4	5	2	3
Ganjam	Dvj	.27	1.72	.47	.40	.32	.91	.52
	Rank	7	1	4	5	6	2	3
Puri	Dvj	.35	1.27	.43	.30	.19	.95	.59
	Rank	4	1	5	6	7	2	3
Koraput	Dvj	.27	2.82	.33	.21	.45	1.41	.62
	Rank	6	1	5	7	4	2	5
Boudkhand- wal	Dvj	.43	1.36	.34	.32	.32	1.01	.77
	Rank	4	1	5	6	7	2	3
Kalahandi	Dvj	.44	3.07	.44	.91	.41	.89	.80
	Rank	5	1	6	2	7	3	4
Bolangir	Dvj	.46	4.35	.43	.79	.25	.94	.61
	Rank	5	1	6	4	7	2	3

vision having
ation

State	Occ	5	6	7-8-9
Bihar	Sloughum	1. Shahabad	2. Sarain	
		3. Champaron	4. Darbhanga	
		5. Monghyr	6. Ranchi	
		7. Dhanbad	8. Patna	
Punjab		1. Ruper	2. Jullundur	
		3. Kapurthala	4. Patiala	
		5. Bhatinda	6. Sangrur	
		7. Hoshiarpur	8. Gurdaspur	
		9. Ferozepore	10. Amritsar	
		11. Ludhiana		
West Bengal		1. 24 Parganas	2. Midnapore	
		3. Calcutta	4. Birbhum	
		5. Hooghly	6. Howrah	
		7. West Dinajpur		
Assam		1. Mizo	2. Kamrup	1. Lakhimpur
		3. Goalpara		2. Sibsaga
				3. N.C.
Haryana		1. Gurgaon	2. Rohtak	
		3. Mehendragarh		
		4. Hisar	5. Jind	
Orissa		1. Balasore		
		2. Cuttack		

Contd...2...

Jammu & Kas

1. Ladakh

Table III.4: Districts Classified according to the Occupational Division
Highest Co-efficient of Standardized Occupational Division

Occupational Divisions occupying rank I				
State	01	2	3	4
Bihar		1. Bhagalpur 2. Muzaffarpur 3. Purnea 4. Gaya 5. Saharsa 6. Patna 7. Palam 8. Hazaribagh		9
Punjab				
West Bengal		1. Murshidabad 2. Nadia 3. Bankura 4. Bardhaman 5. Jalpaiguri 6. Goalpohar 7. Darjeeling 8. Puri 9. Malda		
Assam		Nowgang	1. Borang 2. Chach	
Madhya Pradesh				
Orissa		1. Sambalpur 2. Mayurbhanj 3. Sundergarh 4. Ganjam 5. Puri 6. Korapur 7. Bondelkhand 8. Kalahandi 9. Bolangir 10. Khonkanal		

Table III.5: Occupational Typologies

Typology A 1

(3, 7, 6)

(3, 5, 7)

State Assam 1. Dibrugarh
2. Cachar

Typology A 2

(7, 2, 6)

(7, 6, 4)

(7, 6, 3)

(7, 4,)

State

Assam - 1. Lakhimpur
2. Sibsagar
3. North Cachar

Maharashtra

1. Ahmednagar
2. Nanded
3. Osmanabad.
4. Poona
5. Greater Bombay

Uttar Pradesh

1. Rai Bareilly
2. Shahjahanpur

Jammu & Kashmir

1. Doda

Typology A 3

(4,)

Himachal Pradesh

1. Lahul
2. Kinnaur
3. Sirmour
4. Kulu

Uttar Pradesh

1. Gharwal
2. Uttarkashi
3. Haridwar

Jammu & Kashmir

1. Ladakh

Typology B 1

(6, 3,)

(6, 4,)

State Assam 1. Goalpara
2. Mizo

Uttar Pradesh

1. Basti
2. Fatehpur
3. Hamirpur
4. Chamauli
5. Pithoragarh
6. Tehri Garhwal
7. Almora.

Gujarat

1. Banaskota

Bihar

1. Saran

Himachal Pradesh

1. Mandi
2. Kangra
3. Mahasu

Typology B 2

(2, 3)

(2, 4)

(2, 5)

State

Uttar Pradesh

1. Bijnore

West Bengal

1. Malda
2. Jalpaiguri

Orissa

1. Mayurbhanj
2. Kalahandi
3. Dhenkanal

Maharashtra

1. Yeotmal
2. Bhandra

Gujarat

1. Amreli

Typology B 3

(6, 1,)

(6, 5,)

StateMaharashtra

1. Sangli
2. Koleha
3. Kolhapur
4. Nagpur
5. Nasik
6. Ratnagiri

Uttar Pradesh

1. Agra
2. Pratapgarh
3. Sultanpur
4. Bulandshahr
5. Faizabad
6. Ghonsi

Himachal Pradesh

1. Bilaspur

Jammu & Kashmir

1. Kathua
2. Anantnag

Gujarat

1. Sundernagar

Bihar

1. Ranchi

Punjab

1. Rupar
2. Jullundur
3. Kapurthala
4. Patiala
5. Bhatinda
6. Sangrur

West Bengal

1. West Dinajpur

Assam

1. Kamrup

Haryana

1. Jind

Typology B 4

(6, 7)

StateMaharashtra

1. Aurangabad
2. Buldana

Uttar Pradesh

1. Azamgarh
2. Nanital
3. Jaunpur
4. Jalaun
5. Unnao

Himachal Pradesh

1. Simla
2. Chamba

Jammu & Kashmir

1. Poonch
2. Rajouri
3. Srinagar
4. Udaipur

Bihar

1. Shenbad
2. Champaran
3. Darbhanga

Orissa

1. Balasore

W. Bengal

2. Darjeeling

Typology B 5

(2, 7)

State

- Bihar 1. Muzafferpur
2. Saharsa

Uttar Pradesh

1. Bahraich

Maharashtra

1. Amravati
2. Chandrapur

Typology C 1

(6,2,1)

(6,2,3)

(6,2,4)

(2,6,4)

(2,6,3)

State

Bihar - 1. Bhanbad

W. Bengal 1. Calcutta

2. Howrah

3. Hooghly

4. Midnapore

Haryana

1. Rohtak

Orissa 1. Cuttack

2. Bolangir

3. Sambalpur

Typology C 2

(6,2,5)

(2 ,6,5)

State

Punjab 1. Hoshiarpur

2. Ferozepur

3. Amritsar

W. Bengal

1. 24 Parganas

2. Birbhum

3. Murshidabad

4. Bankura

5. Cooch Behar

6. Purlia

Haryana

1. Gurgaon

2. Hissar

Typology C 3

(6,2,7)

(2,6,7)

State

Bihar

1. Patna

2. Monghyr

3. Bhagalpur

4. Purnea

5. Gaya

6. Parganas

7. Palamou

8. Hazaribagh

Punjab

1. Gurdaspur

2. Ludhiana

3. Mahendragarh

West Bengal

1. Nadia

2. Burdwan

Assam

1. Nalgong

Orissa

1. Sundergarh

2. Ganjam

3. Puri

4. Koraput

5. Bondi-Khandmel

derived by summing up the differences of the district level and All-India occupational structures after ignoring the sign of differences. Thus the co-efficients of standardised occupational deviations help to determine the relative ordering of absolute deviations of district occupational structure from the All-India structure. These co-efficients cannot indicate whether the deviation of a district from the All-India one in respect of any occupational division is in a positive or a negative direction. A high ranking of co-efficient of standardised deviation for a particular occupational deviation could be on account of that occupational division occupying a much more prominent position in the district concerned than in the All-India occupational structure, as also on account of that occupational division occupying a far less important position in the district than in the country as a whole.

If one is interested in the direction of deviation as well, one would have to postulate an alternative measure. One such measure which would be akin to the co-efficient of standardised occupational deviations, while taking account of the above point, could be derived by obtaining occupational division level aggregation of differences in occupational percentages at the two digit level but after keeping the sign of differences in view. The rest of the procedure would be similar to that for deriving the co-efficient of standardised occupational deviations, i.e., the divisional aggregates would have to be divided by the percentage of working force engaged in respective occupational divisions. In order to distinguish this measure from the former one, it may be called the co-efficient of standardised occupational

differences. Symbollically it would be similar to the expression given in equation (2), section III.2, except that in the numerator the summation would be over the actual and not absolute value of differences.

As may be observed from equation (2), in the numerator the All-India percentage of occupations is to be subtracted from the corresponding district occupational percentages. Thus a co-efficient with a positive sign would indicate the greater prominence of that occupational group in the district than in the country as a whole, and vice-versa for a negative co-efficient. Once this set of co-efficients is available, the procedure for classification based on ranking would be identical to that delineated earlier. The only difference would be that in a majority of cases the lowest rank would now go to co-efficients with a negative value. Occupational typologies based on this co-efficient would also be tried out subsequently when occupational data for all districts of India have been fully processed.

III.5 An Analysis of the Feasible District Course Structures

We now come to the task which forms one of the major objectives of this report, viz., delineation of vocational-academic course structure for different regions of India at the district level. The procedure outlined in the last chapter and illustrated with reference to Gurgaon has been applied to almost all districts of India. The final stage of the procedure has been completed for districts in a few states only as yet, but they belong to a wide range of occupational typologies and are sufficiently large in number to yield some broad conclusions. Table III.7 which is parallel to table II.7 for Gurgaon, gives district-wise proportion of students who may be assigned to different vocational and academic courses on the basis of 1971 occupational structure.^{1/} Using the Third Educational Survey data regarding the number of students, with the help of equation of chapter II, page , the cut off point corresponding to a minimum intake capacity of 30 students per vocational course has been estimated for the rural and urban sector of each district and these cut off points are also given at the bottom of each column in table III.7. Those courses whose proportions lie above the cut off proportion for any district fall in the category of feasible vocational courses for that district and an asterisk sign has been placed against these courses in table III.7.

Instead of giving the list of feasible rural and urban courses separately for each district, we have arranged the feasible courses in the form of a matrix in table III.8,

^{1/} In view of its length for each district, the information is not reproduced in this table for all districts. In the final report this table shall be given for all districts

for in this way it is easier to comprehend the overall picture of the vocational courses which emerge as feasible for districts belonging to different regions of India.

In ~~table~~ table III.8 the numbers given in the first row indicate code numbers of the different vocational courses, according to the list given in table II.7, page . The crosses placed in the subsequent rows indicate the courses which emerge as feasible in the rural and urban areas of the different districts, whose names appear in the first column. For example, in the district Surat of Gujarat, in the rural sector the feasible occupation specific vocational courses (OSVC) are course numbers 2,4,5,8,9,11,16,17,22, 24 & 36 and the feasible Core Vocational Courses (CVC) are 40 to 43 and 45 to 49.

The four basic determinants of whether a course appears in the feasible list or does not, are :

- (a) the population of a district and its rural-urban breakup.
- (b) the proportion of students in the relevant age group who are studying in classes IX & X. It is these two factors taken together which are reflected in the districtwise number of students in classes IX & X, as given in the Third Educational Survey.
- (c) the percentage of district working force engaged in an occupation as revealed by occupational structure tables of type II.5 for Gurgaon. Such tables are, however, not appended here for the other districts.
- (d) the occupational-vocational linkage scheme as portrayed by table II.6.

A glance at table III.8 brings out some basic points, which are discussed below

Vocation Code as given in table II.7	--Tashtra -----				----- Haryana -----			
	A	Osmanabad		Mahendragarh		Karnal		
	Rural	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	
<u>Occupation</u> <u>Specific</u> <u>Vocational</u> <u>Courses</u> <u>(OSVC)</u>								
1	-	5.46	1.67	.24	-	-	.29	
2	.9	1.36	.68	.34	.56	1.47	1.13	
3	.4	.49	3.04	.55	1.65	.18	1.79	
4	1.9	1.61	2.26	.74	2.16	1.01	1.59	
5	31.8	59.64	20.56	45.43	15.44	23.85	6.79	
6	-	1.23	.92	.99	.48	2.11	2.63	
7	.1	.24	.53	-	1.44	.37	.53	
8	13.75	1.24	18.13	5.99	23.15	14.58	24.33	
9	3.5	2.48	.30	.25	.48	2.66	1.15	
10	-	7.33	23.36	-	-	.09	-	
11	9.1	4.95	5.68	5.12	21.61	8.62	22.72	
12	-	1.18	.18	-	.36	-	.33	
13	.7	2.70	.64	.37	1.59	1.84	1.43	
14	-	-	-	.25	.48	.09	.04	
15	.7	-	-	-	-	-	.16	
16	-	.11	-	-	-	-	-	
17	2.0	.11	.33	-	-	.28	1.80	
18	.1	-	.07	.49	.24	.37	.29	
19	.54	.34	.25	.37	.75	1.84	1.02	
20	2.0	.54	.07	.49	.48	.92	.69	

Contd.....2.....

Vocational Code
as given in
table II.7

-----Maharashtra-----

-----Haryana-----

Nagpur		Osmanabad		Mahendragarh		Karnal	
Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban

32		.50	-	.29	.25	.48	.82	1.76
33		.05	-	-	.25	-	-	-
34	8	.28	.11	.22	-	-	-	.12
35		-	-	-	-	-	.09	-
36	47	5.12	1.27	2.05	1.23	6.48	6.88	8.18
37	7	.07	-	.07	.25	-	2.57	9.33
38		.05	-	.02	-	-	.02	.05
39		.05	-	.02	-	-	.02	.05

Core Vocational Courses (CVC)	40	71	4.63	3.32	3.74	.75	3.34	3.92	4.73
	41	13	3.12	.27	.95	.25	.96	.93	.81
	42	58	2.83	2.41	2.65	.74	6.46	8.05	6.94
	43	113	24.66	14.48	44.58	4.44	29.26	12.47	33.12
	44	16	.07	.11	.44	.74	1.15	.09	.90
	45	17	35.83	1.24	18.13	5.92	21.11	14.49	23.88
	46	44	1.77	2.59	2.07	.75	.96	2.75	1.51
	47	5	2.63	.99	2.37	.74	4.75	4.12	2.95
	48	19	.23	.23	.03	-	.72	.64	.73
	49	29	.75	.11	.33	-	-	.28	1.80
	50		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	51		-	-	.07	-	-	.18	.12

Academic Courses	52	458	26.03	75.33	39.66	85.68	31.04	50.54	21.70
------------------	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Total No. of
stu
stu
ole

Cur
(p

TABLE III 8 APPEARS AT THE END
OF THIS REPORT.

III.6 (a) 'Region Neutral' Vocational Courses

= It had been envisaged that from a regional point of view courses which emerge as feasible ones would perhaps fall into two broad type of categories and this is borne out by table III.8. It may be observed from this table that some vocational courses tend to appear as feasible ones in almost all or a very high percentage of districts. These are some basic vocational courses likely to be needed almost everywhere irrespective of variations in the natural resource base or the structure of different districts. These fall mainly in the Core Vocational Course (CVC) list but also in the OSVC list. Vocational Courses, which appear in the feasible list for over three fourth of districts, either in their rural or urban sectors, are being defined as region neutral vocational courses for the purposes of this study. Proceeding on the basis of this definition, the following vocational courses could be classified as region neutral vocational courses:

OSVC

1. Course No. 5: Teachers' Training for Nursery and Lower Level Classes.
2. Course No. 8: Special Language Course
3. Course No.11: Salesmanship and Marketing
4. Course No.22: Commercial Arithmetic
5. Course No.36: Mechanical and Electrical Workshops

CVC

1. Course No, 40: Introductory Mechanical Engineering.
2. Course No, 42: Introductory Electrical Engineering
3. Course No. 43: Basic Commerce Course

4. Course No. 45: Secretarial Practice Course
5. Course No. 46: Basic Agricultural Course
6. Course No. 47: Home Science

It may be pointed out that among the Core Vocational Course, Course No. 41 dealing with Introductory Civil Engineering Course, unlike its brother courses on Introductory Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, does not appear widely in the feasible list and hence is absent from the list of region neutral courses. Also two of the core courses, viz., Basic Agricultural Course (No. 46) & Home Science Course (No. 47), although contained in the above list, do not appear as frequently in the feasible list as the other courses belonging to the above list. On the other hand, para-medical course (No. 4), is a near contender for inclusion in the above list, though it does not qualify strictly on the basis of the criterion enunciated above.

It also needs to be pointed out that courses in the region neutral group are not equally wide-spread in all parts of the country. For example, in the hilly districts, of Jammu & Kashmir and Uttar Pradesh, many of the region neutral courses are hardly to be found in the feasible list, with the exception of course numbers 5, 8, 11 & 45, which are almost ever present in the feasible list.

III.5 (b) 'Region Specific' Vocational Courses

In contrast to the above list, there are courses which are found to be more prominent in districts of some States than in other states. These other courses may be categorised as region specific courses reflecting some

special features of a district in terms of its occupational structure or dominant economic activities. Quite often, within the feasible list, these courses do not necessarily gravitate towards any specific region or state, but are sparsely and randomly distributed among the districts. For example, course number 2 (Construction Technology), 3 (Engineering Assistants Course) and 4 (Para Medical Courses) are to be found concentrated among districts in the feasible list for Maharashtra and Gujarat. But these courses are not absent from the feasible list for the other states, though they occur there in a much more thinly dispersed manner.

We may now take a fleeting glance at the spatial distribution of some of the other region specific courses which appear in the feasible list for different districts. Course No. 7 dealing with Telecommunications occurs primarily in the feasible list for large urban centres only. Course number 9 for Block Level Workers and number 13 regarding Food & Nutrition occur in the list for a number of districts in each of the states for which final results are available till now. Course No. 14 dealing with Forestry is to be found mainly in the list for hill districts of Jammu & Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh. It is conspicuous by its absence from the list for hill districts of U.P., perhaps partly reflecting the great inundation of forests in that region. Course No. 12 dealing with Hoteling and Catering does appear in the list for Greater Bombay but it also appears three or four times in the list for rural areas of districts like Osmanabad or Sabarkantha, which shows that the vocation identification procedure

used here can sometimes yield funny results. Metal Processing Course (No. 15) has up till now occurred only in the feasible list for Mirzapur, Nasik, Thana, Greater Bombay and Hanoi. Course No. 17 dealing with Textile Designing, as may be expected, appears quite frequently in the feasible lists for districts of Gujarat and a few times in Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh. Leather Technology Course (No. 18) appears in the feasible list for two well known leather centres, viz., Kanpur and Agra, and for two other districts, viz., Ghazipur and Gurgaon. Courses 19, 20, 24 & 27 occur in a thinly scattered manner, but in almost all the states.

III.5 (c) "Regional Common Markets for Vocational Courses"

While we have commented upon the spatial distribution of some of the region specific vocational courses, there are quite a few vocational courses which cater to occupations engaging a small proportion of the working force but generally fall below the cut off point and hence fail to appear in the feasible list for most of the districts. Examples of such courses are course No. 10 for Typewriter and Duplicator Mechanics, Course No. 16 dealing with Wood Technology, Course No. 21 regarding Automobiles, Course No. 25 about Radio & T.V., number 27 about Plumbing, number 51 relating to Electronics, etc. The absence of these courses from the feasible list does not by any means indicate lack of their social usefulness. Some of them like those dealing with Radios and Plumbing are likely to be in demand in a majority of urban areas. Most of these courses appear to be absent from the feasible list mainly on account of their being uneconomic for provision on a

districtwise basis. In the case ~~some~~ of these courses it is worth planning for their provision by pooling together the resources of a group of neighbouring districts. In this manner a vocational course which lies below the cut off point for each district considered separately, would become feasible when the requirements of a set of districts are considered jointly along the lines of a common market for goods. When the final results for all states become available, it is intended to try this exercise by aggregating the results for sets of neighbouring districts and identifying courses which can attain the minimum feasibility requirement of 30 students through this process of district level pooling.

III.6 (d) Academic-Vocational Course Distribution

One of the bye-products of the methodology of course identification used here is the break up between vocational and academic courses which ^{we} ~~can~~ obtain for the rural and urban sectors of each district. Table II.6, which links occupations with different educational courses, includes within its umbrella the academic courses as well, since for a number of occupations they form an integral part of the educative process. Thus, while transforming occupational structure to an educational course structure, we derive the proportion of students who shall take various vocational as also academic courses. It may be pointed out, however, that the sum of percentages for all vocational and academic courses would not be expected to add up to one hundred per cent, as there is no one to one correspondence between courses and occupations and hence students could be taking courses from

more than a single set.

The percentage of students who may take up academic courses, derived on the basis of the vocational courses formulation procedure used here, are given in table III.9 for the different districts. Two points about it are worth noting. First, that occupational structure in rural and urban areas appears to be such that in case the occupation-education linkage of table II.6 is utilised, the percentage of students needing to take up academic courses would be much higher in rural than in the urban areas. It is in urban areas that vocational bias in education comes out to be more imperative. However, it has to be borne in mind that these educational course structures are derived from occupational structure of the working force, whereas the educational sector has to cater for education of those also who would not join the working force. In this study we have been concerned mainly with investment aspects of education, since the aim has been to derive courses for manpower going to be employed in directly or indirectly productive activities. But considering the fact that a certain proportion of those educated, particularly among the females, do not join the labour force but treat education as a consumption good and hence may not be included to take up vocational courses, the rural and urban percentages given in table III.9 may not be conveying a very accurate picture of the proportion of students who should take up academic courses. The proportions would change if one takes an overall view concerning

Table III.9 : Proportions of Students
estimated for Academic Courses

<u>District</u>	<u>Rural</u> <u>% age</u>	<u>Urban</u> <u>% age</u>
<u>State</u>		
<u>Gujarat</u>		
1. Mehsana	50.92	19.59
2. Gandhid Nagar	25.15	13.19
3. Bhavnagar	52.47	16.98
4. Jam Nagar	77.60	29.55
5. Bharuch	7.11	23.16
6. Panch Mahal	55.71	21.71
7. Kutch	64.27	23.55
8. Ahmedabad	45.12	16.79
9. Valsud	52.50	13.20
10. Baroda	47.37	17.91
11. Bansakantha	47.07	20.88
12. Amroli	73.21	26.44
13. Surat	48.86	14.91
14. Surendar Nagar	64.46	20.68
15. Ahmedabad	45.12	16.79
16. Rajkot	12.05	17.60
17. Safarkanta	12.77	10.64
18. Dangas	37.32	-
19. Kheda	40.67	21.17
<u>JAMMU & KASHMIR</u>		
1. Punch	69.85	32.14
2. Jammu	50.34	19.19
3. Anantnag	44.74	40.90

Contd...2...

Table III.9

- 2 -

<u>District</u>	<u>Rural</u> <u>% age</u>	<u>Urban</u> <u>% age</u>
4. Katua	53.45	37.53
5. Srungar	55.95	24.20
6. Nadia	85.31	26.89
7. Odipar	53.47	31.33
8. Rajour	62.30	32.62
9. Baranalla	59.59	39.16
10. Doda	72.49	36.01
<u>HARYANA</u>		
1. Mahendargarh	85.68	31.04
2. Karnal	50.54	21.70
3. Ambala	42.78	21.53
4. Rohtak	57.23	27.92
5. Jind	65.21	23.77
6. Hissar	56.57	10.51
<u>HEMACHAL PRADESH</u>	56.07	28.11
1. Bilaspur	68.20	14.84
<u>UTTAR PRADESH</u>		
1. Gharwal	43.42	19.19
2. Naitol	37.62	24.28
3. Uttar Bashi	42.01	9.09
4. Tehri Gharwal	56.54	27.56
5. Pauri Gharwal	56.42	15.23
6. Chamuli	59.36	21.39
7. Agra	51.26	25.95
8. Baharich	59.37	27.06
9. Kanpur	56.29	27.82
10. Lucknow	51.95	17.93

Contd...3...

Table III.9

- 3 -

	<u>District</u>	<u>rural</u> <u>% age</u>	<u>Urban</u> <u>% age</u>
11.	Ghazipur	46.11	30.40
12.	Shahjahanpur	50.03	23.36
13.	Sultanpur	54.39	27.14
14.	Mirzapur	27.53	22.53
15.	Jhansi	58.93	26.21
16.	Ladun	91.76	17.45
17.	Meerut	50.07	-
18.	Nanded	57.19	28.93
19.	Dohradun	40.22	25.37
20.	Jaunpur	58.23	25.74

MAHARASHTRA

1.	Ahmed Nagar	82.69	36.33
2.	Bhandhara	62.86	30.93
3.	Bhir	25.18	27.36
4.	Bhuldona	67.30	26.27
5.	Chandarpur	56.32	22.61
6.	Greater Bombay	-	20.76
7.	Wardha	57.54	25.31
8.	Thana	31.26	19.93
9.	Kolhapur	53.16	27.97
10.	Nasik	33.33	31.68
11.	Nagpur	60.98	26.03
12.	Kolaba	52.28	30.88
13.	Parbhani	74.05	29.52
14.	Nanded	60.96	25.81
15.	Osmanabad	75.33	39.66
16.	Jalgaon	46.15	20.96
17.	Akola	57.18	25.28
18.	Amaravati	63.20	35.76

education not only for the world of occupations but also for that segment of the world which acquires education simply for the sake of education and culture, in order to sustain the district level occupations.

Secondly, even if we make allowance for a certain proportion of those being educated who shall not join the labour force, there is nothing in the table to warrant the suggestion or the expectation that roughly 50% students should take to the vocational and 50% to the academic courses. The suggested proportions in this table vary widely and sometimes deviate significantly from the 50% norm and would not necessarily converge towards 50% if consumption aspect of education is also included explicitly.

III.5 (a) Rural-Urban Pattern of Feasible Courses

It is of interest to observe the districtwise distribution of the number of courses which appear in the feasible list. The total number of feasible courses for each district is given in the last, i.e. fifty third column of table III.3. Two points need to be noted about their pattern of distribution. First, there appears to be a systematic relationship between the number of courses appearing in the feasible list for each district and their respective levels of development. The composite level of development of each district has been taken from M.N. Pal's paper^{1/} who has classified the districts into

^{1/} M.N. Pal, "Regional Disparities in the Level of Development in India", Indian Journal of Regional Science, Vol. VII, No.1, 1975.

the following six categories of development:

VL: Very Low

L: Low

M: Medium

H: High

VH: Very High

EH: Extremely High

The relevant symbols have been placed below

the name of each district in column 1 of table III.8, to indicate the level of development of the respective districts. Broadly speaking, the number of feasible courses appearing against the high development categories like EH & VH, is greater than that in M & L categories. For example, in districts like Ahmedabad (R=13; U=32), Greater Bombay (U=42), Nagpur (R=14; U=28), Meerut (R=24), Lucknow (R=12; U=20) etc., the number of feasible courses is much higher than that in lower or medium development category districts like Bahraich (R=8; U=9), Chamauli (R=10; U=2), Ghazipur (R=15; U=7), Banas Kantha (R=7; U=6), etc

Secondly, the rural-urban distribution of feasible courses also appears to be closely associated with the level of development of the districts. As a general rule, in the more developed districts, the number of feasible courses comes out to be higher in their urban segments than in the rural ones, while the position is the reverse in the low development category. This is in fact a reflection of relationship between the level of development and the number of feasible courses pointed out above. If these two variables are related in a positive direction, one would expect development to result in gravitation of feasible vocational courses towards the urban areas, for urbanisation and the level of development are also positively related.

Moreover, one would have to be cautious in drawing any policy conclusions regarding the rural-urban allocation of in-take of students into various vocational courses on the basis of table III.8, for the list of feasible courses in the rural and urban areas has been derived on the basis of the gravitational pull of the existing number of workers in various occupations in the rural and urban areas. Although the endeavour should be to provide courses in areas where demand for them is likely to exist, but from the point of view of amenities available in the educational sector, and also from the point of view of the future growth potential, in the case of many vocational courses it may be found to be easier to institute new courses in schools located in urban rather than in the rural areas. Thus the supply pattern of vocational education may have to deviate from the rural-urban demand pattern as revealed by the rural-urban proportion of feasible courses for various districts indicated by table III.8.

Lastly, it is imperative to add a word of caution regarding quantitative implications of the results derived in this study. By applying proportions given in tables of type II.7 & III.7 to the number of new entrants expected at the plus two stage, in different districts, one can derive absolute magnitudes of the number of students for which capacity must be provided in the different vocational courses. However, a reliance upon such quantitative magnitudes would not be very advisable, for these magnitudes would have been derived from 1971 Census data and even in 1971 Census data the reliability of occupational classification in terms of finer categories may not be as high as

one would like it to be. Secondly, our analysis has been in terms of two digit level occupational groups, which may be too broad for yielding very accurate magnitudes in terms of student in-take into specific vocational courses. Thirdly, the end results are also sensitive to occupation - vocation interlinkages mapped out in table II.6. A finer classification of these in terms of narrower occupation groups should theoretically have been superior, but data and computational problems would have been magnified manifold. Finer occupational categorisation is conceptually more sound, but on the other side of the balance is the fact that reliability of data for finer classifications goes on decreasing.

In the light of these points, it is worth asserting that the reliability of feasible course list for districts as presented in table III.8, is expected to be more than that of quantitative magnitudes implicit in vocational course structure tables. It also goes without saying that the total number of feasible vocational courses indicated against each district would have to be introduced in a phased manner, if and when the start is made. One cannot envisage a frontal attack on the entire feasible list in one go. It would be more appropriate to make a start with the Core Vocational list and gradually diversify the range of occupation specific vocational courses (OSVC), always keeping in mind the fact that there should be no duplication of those courses for which I.T.I. and other vocational institutions in the district offer adequate capacity. In the subsequent portions of this study we try to see the extent to which some of the limitations mentioned above can be remedied.

CHAPTER IV

THE TREND OF OCCUPATIONAL CHANGE AND ITS VOCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

As pointed out earlier, it is imperative to check upon the results of district level vocation identification derived through the methodology outlined previously by analysing the trends in the pattern of occupational change. Occupational structure does not remain static and, to the extent possible, our endeavour should be to try to relate vocational courses not simply to the 1971 Census occupational structure but to the occupational structure likely to exist by the time the first batch of plus two students qualifies. It is conceivable that results via the procedure of 1971 occupational structure and that based on projection of the trends may not differ substantially; but it is worthwhile to assess differences, if any, in results obtained via the two procedures.

With this end in view, in this Chapter we have adopted two approaches in order to take account of the influence of growing, stagnant and decaying occupations on the vocational course structure. Both the approaches are based on extrapolation, in some way, of the trends observed during 1961-71. The first approach, which is the simpler one, is based on identifying occupations which improved their position in the proportionate occupational structure, those which retained roughly the same position and those which slumped during 1961-71. Assuming that the same trends would roughly continue over the next decade, we have assessed the impact of the growing, stagnant and decaying occupations on the various vocational courses on the basis

- 147 -

of the occupational-education linkage table II.6 and have indicated courses demand for which is likely to good. In the second approach we attempt to project an occupational structure for the late seventies in quantitative terms on the basis of the 1971 Census as the base and 1961-71 occupational change. This modified occupational structure is then translated into a vocational-academic course structure with the help of table II.7 using the same procedure as outlined in Chapter II. On account of the cumbersome steps involved in this procedure, it is tried on an experimental basis for Gurgaon only, in order to see as to how far the results of section II.7 of Chapter II differ from that derived through this method. For the present the first approach is also tried for three districts, but it is intended to broad base it by covering a few districts from each occupational typology. The end results of the second approach do not appear to be too rewarding to warrant its repetition.

IV.1 (a) Growing, Stagnant and Decaying Occupations

Let us make a start with the first approach. The basic secondary sources for computing the trend of occupational change at the district level, as in case of earlier work, are the Population Censuses of 1961 & 1971. But at the outset one encounters a basic difficulty in making use of these data. It arises from the fact that the occupational classifications used in 1961 and 1971 are not similar - the 1961 Census occupational classification was based on NCO Code of 1958 while that of 1971 was based on 1968 NOC Code. The 1968 NCO Code does indicate the manner in which 1958 and 1968 occupational classifications can be made comparable by clubbing together with certain two and three digit level

occupational groups, but some of the smaller three digit occupational categories were non-existent in 1958 NCO Code. However, using these guidelines, occupational data were derived for 1961 and 1971 at the All-India level and, for the present, for three districts of Haryana. The data thus obtained may be considered to be broadly comparable between 1961 & 1971 in terms of the two digit level occupational groups of 1961 Census. Comparability at one digit occupational division level, which would have made it easier to comprehend the broad direction of occupational changes in terms of 8 or 9 basic categories, has not been ~~possible~~ possible for some of the two and three digit level occupational groups according to 1958 and 1968 N.C.Os are classified among different one digit level occupational divisions and the total number of occupational groups had been increased in 1968 N.C.O compared to the 1958 N.C.O.

The trend of occupational change between 1961 & 1971 can be studied in two alternative ways. One is to work out percentage change in the absolute number of workers engaged in each two digit level occupational group in 1961 & 1971. The other method is to work out the percentage occupational structure of working force in 1961 & 1971 and then compare the two or work out the rate of change in the percentage of manpower occupied in each two digit level group in 1961 and 1971. The percentage changes calculated by the first method reveal composite effect of change (which may be in the upward or downward direction) in total working force over the time period as well as of the rate of change in the proportion of working force engaged in each occupational group. Since our focus of attention is on proportional occupational structure, it is the second method of studying

occupational change which underlies both the approaches experimented with in this chapter. Moreover, in the light of definitional changes in work force in the Census of 1961 and 1971, estimation of changes in the absolute number of workers engaged in any occupational group would not have been very meaningful, particularly when male and female workers are being aggregated together. This is so because the definitional changes are supposed to have affected primarily the occupational classification of female workers.

IV.1 (b) The All-India Pattern of Change

We may first have a look at the All-India pattern of occupational change during 1961-71. For this purpose table IV.1 gives the percentage of working force employed in each two digit level occupational group (of NCO 1958 code) in 1961 and 1971 as well as the rate of change in the percentage form for each category over this time period (column 4). Column (4) has been derived by subtracting column (3) from column (2), dividing the difference by column (2) and multiplying it by 100. Table IV.2 gives the description of each 2 digit level occupational group of NCO 1958, used in table IV.1 and other tables making use of 1961 Census occupational data. A look at column (4) of this table brings out second basic difficulty in interpreting occupational change as revealed by the Censuses of 1961 & 1971. As may be observed from this column, the changes in proportional occupational structure at two digit level are quite substantial ranging from -100% to over 1000% with quite a large number of changes falling in the range of - 25% to 200%. It appears that these violent changes are not a true reflection of the occupational changes which actually took place during 1961-71, for it is inconceivable that such

substantial changes spread over a large number of occupations could have come about within the span of a decade. These somewhat erratic magnitudes are in all probability primarily the result of vagaries of occupation especially in the case of female workers, identification by the Census investigations, and partly of changes in the definition of work force, although the difficulties arising on account of definitional changes should get reduced in the light of our decision not to study change in absolute magnitudes, but only in proportionate magnitudes. To some extent they might also be caused by change over from the NCO Code of 1958 to the 1968 Code and the difficulty of their precise comparison, in spite of the conversion table given in the 1968 N.C.O Code.

These difficulties vitiate the reliability of occupational trend analysis, but we decided to make an attempt at deriving some meaningful results. To get over the difficulties enumerated above, it has been assumed that although the exact magnitude of rates of change in the occupational percentages may not be very meaningful, yet they can convey a broad idea of the direction of change and the relative strength of change for various occupational categories. This assumption can no doubt be questioned, but under the circumstances, it appears to provide the best way of making an attempt to analyse occupational change. Thus, proceeding on the basis of this assumption, we have classified rates of change of over +200% in column (4) of table IV.1 as indicating very fast growing occupations and those between +50% to +200% as moderately fast growing occupations. Within the range of +50% to -25%, it has been assumed that the magnitude is not sufficiently large to warrant any conclusion about the direction of change. The ranges - 25% to

-50% and -50% to -100% are treated as indicating moderately declining and fast declining occupations. Using this classification, table IV.3 lists the occupations which fall in each category of occupational change. Since the pattern of change has been worked out separately for rural and urban sectors, against the occupations which fall in each of these five categories it is indicated whether it is the rural or urban components of the occupational groups which fall within any specific range. Code numbers pertain to the 1958 NCO Code.

If we read table IV.3 together with tables IV.1 and IV.2, the following occupational groups appear to be the clearly growing ones, both in the rural and urban areas. Either the rural or urban component of the following occupations fall in above +50% classification in terms of col. (4) of table IV.1 :

1. Physicians, Surgeons and Dentists
2. Teachers
3. Jurists
4. Draughtsmen, Science and Engineering Technicians.
5. Directors & Managers, Wholesale & Retail Trade.
6. Directors & Managers & Working Proprietors, Financial Institutions.
7. Book-Keepers & Cashiers.
8. Office Machine Operators
- 9.
10. Drivers & Firemen, Railway Engine
11. Telephone, Telegraph & Related Telecommunication Operators.
12. Postmen & Messengers.
13. Mechanic, Typewriter & Calculating Machine.
14. Electricians and Related Electrical & Electronics Workers.
15. Painters and paper Hangers
16. Chemical & Related Process Workers.

17. Testers, Packers, Sorters & Related Workers.
18. Fire Fighters, Policemen, Guards and Related Workers.
19. Building Caretakers, Cleaners and Related Workers.
20. Photographers and Related Camera Operators.
21. Service, Sport and Recreation Workers, n.e.c.

At the other end are the following occupational groups, which appear to reveal a declining trend both in the rural and urban areas, with either the urban or rural component falling in below -25% range in terms of col. (4) of table IV.1:

1. Chemists, Physicists, Geologists and other Physical Scientists
2. Artists, Writers & Related Workers.
3. Directors, Managers & Working Proprietors, Others.
- 4.
- 5.
6. Spinners, Weavers, Knitters, Dyers and Related Workers.
7. Furnacemen, Rollers, Drawers, Moulders and Related Metal Making & Treating Workers.
8. Millers, Bakers, Brewmasters and Related Food & Beverage Workers.
9. Labourers, n.e.c.

Then there is the ambiguous category of occupations in which rural and urban percentages move in opposite directions, with atleast one of them falling above +50% or below -25% range. In their case it cannot be clearly claimed whether the occupation as a whole has shown a tendency towards growth or decay. The opposite trends in rural and urban sectors could be reflecting a tendency for occupations to shift from one environment to the other, but it may also be merely a consequence of aberrations associated

with identification of occupations by the Census Investigators. The occupational groups in this category are not many and are listed below :-

1. Nurses, Pharmacists and other Medical and Health Technicians.
2. Social Scientists and related workers.
3. Administrators and Executive Officials, Government.
- 4.
5. Desk Officers, Engineers, Officers & Pilot, Ships.
6. Tool Makers, Mechanists, Plumbers, Welders, Platers and Related workers.

The remaining two digit level occupational ~~BOCs~~ groups belonging to the -25% to +50% range both in case of urban and rural areas and, therefore, according to the assumptions outlined previously, nothing definite can be concluded about the trend of change in their case during the 1960's.

IV.1 (c) Occupational Change in Gurgaon, Karnal & Mahendragarh

We may now shift our attention from the All-India pattern of occupational change during the sixties to the pattern of change in the case of Gurgaon and, for comparative purposes, a two other districts of Haryana - one a well developed district, Karnal, and the other a backward district, Mahendragarh. Tables similar to table IV.3 for All-India level giving code numbers of fast growing, moderately growing, nearly constant, moderately declining and fast declining occupations have been prepared for these three districts (Table IV.5 - IV.7) and in addition, for Gurgaon district, table IV.4 gives percentage occupational structure during 1961 & 1971 and the rates of change in occupational groups during 1961-71. One thing which appears to be clearly evident from a comparison of district level and

Table IV.1: All-India Pattern of Occupational Change 1961-71

Division/ Group NCO-1958	% age of Workers 1961	%age of Workers 1971	Rate of change of % age	DNV/ Group NCO-1958	% age of Workers 1961	% age of Workers 1971	Rate of change of % age
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
All DNV - R	100			09 R	.023	.177	669.57
U	100			U	.221	.512	131.67
DNV-O R	5.004			96,0X R	.746	.796	6.70
U	6.504			U	.713	.548	-23.65
GR. 00 R	.119			D-1 R			
U	.437			U			
01 R	.062	.017	-72.58	G-10 R	1.541	2.640	71.32
U	.072	.065	- 9.72	U	2.151	1.205	-43.90
02 R	.040	.037	42.50	11 R	.032	.080	150.00
U	.044	.035	-20.45	U	.218	.430	97.25
03 R	.356	.790	121.91	12 R	.013	.039	353.85
U	.513	.730	46.20	U	.106	.109	2.83
04 R	.423	.646	52.72	13 R	.676	.128	-81.07
U	.758	.722	-4.75	U	1.931	.239	-87.62
05 R	2.841	6.501	128.83	D-2 R	2.187		
U	2.618	3.636	38.83	U	10.340		
06 R	.053	.106	100.00	20 R	.218	.348	59.63
U	.345	.421	22.03	U	1.275	1.802	41.33
07 R	.072	.279	287.50	21,22 R	.071	.131	84.51
U	.315	.155	-50.79	U	.574	.639	11.32
08 R	.272	.014	-94.85	28,29 R	2.543	3.325	30.75
U	.426	.056	-86.85	66,69 U	9.494	8.195	-13.68

Contd....2...

Table IV.1

- 2 -

DVN/ GROUP NCO-1958	% age of Workers 1961	% age of Workers 1971	Rate of change of % age	DVN/ Group NCO-1958	% age of Workers 1961	% age of Workers 1971	Rate of change of % age
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
DVN-3 R 9.610				DVN-5 R 1.617			
U 15.273				U .529			
G-30 R 7.254	8.191	12.92		50,51 R 1.617	1.762	8.97	
U 9.424	10.626	12.75		52,59 U .529	.735	38.94	
31 R .070	.121	72.86		DVN-6 R 2.024			
U .425	.480	12.94		U 5.016			
32, R 1.238	2.519	10.343		60,62 R .004	.012	200.00	
33 U 5.318	4.965	-6.64		U .031	.024	-22.53	
34 R .048	.073	52.08		61,63 R 1.106	2.292	107.23	
U .106	.100	2.83		64 U 3.469	4.905	41.40	
DVN-4 R 19.293				65 R .024	.286	1091.67	
U 2.567				U .078	.362	364.10	
G-40 R 6.116	.162	-97.35		67 R .034	.060	76.47	
U .522	.055	-89.46		U .200	.223	11.50	
41 R 8.835	8.647	- 2.13		68 R .210	.448	113.33	
U 1.401	1.072			U .236	.261	10.59	
42 R .034	.35	-23.48		7-8 R 50.754			
U .008	.004	-50.00		U 43.215			
43-R 1.352	1.781	31.73		70 R 8.892	5.604	-36.98	
U .477	.473	- .84		U 8.239	6.787	-17.62	
44 R .956	.790	-17.36		71 R 1.879	2.684	42.84	
U .159	.153	- 3.77		U 2.412	2.566	6.38	

Contd.....3....

Table IV.1

- 3 -

DVN/ GROUP NO-1958 (1)	% age of Workers 1961 (2)	% age of Workers 1971 (3)	Rate of change of % age (4)	DVN/ GROUP NO-1958 (1)	% age of Workers 1961 (2)	% age of Workers 1971 (3)	Rate of change of % age (4)
Gr. 72 R	1.462	1.331	-8.96	Gr. 83 R	.135	.187	38.52
U	.967	.374	-9.62	U	.237	.433	32.70
73 R	1.315	.197	-85.02	84 R	1.664	2.501	50.30
U	.979	.572	-41.57	U	1.593	1.399	-12.18
74 R	.916	1.084	18.34	85 R	3.072	2.585	-18.46
U	1.144	3.504	206.29	U	1.257	1.043	-17.02
75 R	.7837	1.837	134.61	86 R	.113	.636	462.83
U	3.818	1.818	-52.38	U	.440	2.184	396.36
76 R	.203	.770	279.31	87 R	.217	.343	58.06
U	.912	1.651	81.03	U	.578	.601	3.98
77 R	2.353	2.950	25.37	89 R	18.617	4.373	-73.82
U	1.726	1.726	-	U	12.758	4.673	-63.31
78 R	.045	.098	117.72	DVN 9 R	3.351		
U	.331	.377	17.45	U	11.642		
79 R	2.332	2.989	2.317	Gr. 90 R	1.241	2.070	66.80
U	2.172	2.639	21.50	U	2.742	3.032	10.58
80 R	.110	.142	29.09	91,92 R	1.912	1.958	2.41
U	.692	.739	6.03	U	4.750	3.685	-22.42
81 R	2.653	2.734	3.81	93 R	1.049	.806	-23.16
U	.922	.856	-7.16	U	1.938	1.875	-3.25
82 R	3.990	2.970	-25.56				
U	2.063	1.773	-13.81				

Contd....4....

Table IV.1

- 4 -

Division/ Group NCO-1958 (1)		Percentage of Workers 1961 (2)	Percentage of Workers 1971 (3)	Rate of change of percentage (4)
Gr. 94	R	1.373	1.925	40.20
	U	.776	.724	-6.70
95	R	2.634	2.546	-3.34
	U	1.225	.931	-19.92
97	R	.010	.059	490.00
	U	.090	.152	68.89
99	R	.128	.400	212.50
	U	.107	.205	91.59
X	R	1.165	1.016	-12.79
	U	.129	1.820	310.85
X 8	R	.982	.395	-59.78
	U	.042	1.341	3092.86
X 9	R	.183	.622	239.89
	U	.087	.479	450.57

Table 17.2: National Classification of Occupations, 1958

<u>Code No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
Division - 0	Professional, Technical and Related Workers
Group - 00	Architects, Engineers and Surveyors.
" - 01	Chemists, Physicists, Geologists and other Physical Scientists.
02	Biologists, Veterinarians, Agronomists and Related Scientists.
03	Physicians, Surgeons and Dentists
04	Nurses, Pharmacists and other Medical and Health Technicians.
05	Teachers.
06	Jurists
07	Social Scientists and Related Workers
08	Artists, Writers and Related Workers
09	Draftsmen and Science and Engineering Technicians, n.e.c.
0X	Other Professional, Technical and Related Workers.
<u>Division - 1</u>	Administrative, Executive and Managerial Workers.
Group - 10	Administrators and Executive Officials, Government
11	Directors and Managers, Wholesale & Retail trade.
12	Directors, Managers and Working Proprietors, Financial Institutions.
13	Directors, Managers and Working Proprietors, Other
14	
<u>Division - 2</u>	Clerical and Related Workers
Group - 20	Book-keepers and Cashiers.
21	Stenographers and Typists
22	Office Machine Operators
28	Clerical Workers - Miscellaneous
29	Unskilled Office Workers
<u>Division - 3</u>	Sales Workers
Group - 30	Working Proprietors, Wholesale and Retail Trade.
31	Insurance and Real Estate Salesmen, Salesmen of Securities & Services, and Auctioneers.
32	Commercial Travellers and Manufacturers' Agents.
33	Salesmen, Shop Assistants and Related Workers.
34	Money-lenders and Pawn-brokers.

Table IV.2

- 2 -

<u>Code No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
<u>Division - 4</u>	Farmers, Fishermen, Hunters, Loggers and Related Workers
Group - 40	Farmers and Farm Managers.
41	Farm Workers
42	Hunters and Related Workers
43	Fishermen and Related Workers
44	Loggers and other Forestry Workers
<u>Division - 5</u>	Miners, Quarrymen and Related Workers
Group 50	Miners and Quarrymen.
51	Well Drillers and Related Workers
52	Mineral Treators
59	Miners, Quarrymen and Related Workers, n.e.c.
<u>Division - 6</u>	Workers in Transport and Communication Occupations
Group 60	Deck Officers, Engineer Officers and Pilots, Ship
61	Deck and Engine-room Ratings (Ship), Barge Crews and Boatmen.
62	Aircraft Pilots, Navigators and Flight Engineers.
63	Drivers and Firemen, Railway Engine.
64	Drivers, Road Transport
65	Conductors, Guards and Brakemen, Railways.
66	Inspectors, Supervisors, Traffic Controller and Despatchers, Transport.
67	Telephone, Telegraph and Related Telecommunication Operators.
68.	Postmen and Messengers
69	Workers in Transport and Communication Occupations, n.e.c.
<u>Division - 7-8</u>	Craftsmen, Production Process Workers, and Labourers, n.e.c.
Group 70	Spinners, Weavers, Shuttlers, Dyers and Related Workers.
71	Tailors, Cutters, Carriers and Related Workers.
72	Leather Cutters, Lasters and Sewers (except Gloves and Garments) and Related Workers.
73	Furnacemen, Rollers, Drawers, Moulder and Related Metal Making and Treating Workers.
74	Precision Instrument Makers, Watch Makers, Jewellers and Related Workers.
75	Tool-makers, Machinists, Plumbers, Welders, Platers and Related Workers.
76	Electricians and Related Electrical and Electronic Workers
77	Carpenters, Joiners, Cabinet Makers, Coopers and Related Workers
78	Painters, and Paper Hangers
79	Brick-layers, Plasters and Construction

Table IV.2

- 3 -

<u>Code No.</u>		<u>Description</u>
Group	80	Compositors, Printers, Engravers, book-binders and related workers.
	81	Potters, Liners, Glass and Clay formers and Related Workers.
	82	Millers, Bakers, Brew-masters and Related Food and and Beverage Workers
	83	Chemical and related Process Workers.
	84	Tobacco re-rollers and product makers.
	85	Craftsmen and Production Process Workers, n.e.c.
	86	Testers, Packers, Sorters and Related Workers.
	87	Stationary Engine and Excavating and Lifting Equipment Operators and Related Workers.
	89	Labourers n.e.c.
<u>Division - 9</u>		Service, Sport and Recreation Workers
Group	90	Fire-Fighters, Policemen, Guards and Related workers.
	91	House-keepers, Cooks, Maids and Related Workers.
	92	Waiters, Bartenders and Related Workers.
	93	Building Care-takers, Cleaners and Related Workers.
	94	Barbers, Hairdressers, Beauticians and Related workers.
	95	Laundresses, Dry-Cleaners and Pressers.
	96	Athletes, Sportsmen and Related Workers.
	97	Photographers and Related Camera Operators.
	99	Service, Sport and Recreation Workers, n.e.c.
<u>Division - 10</u>		Workers not Classifiable by Occupations
Group	X0	Workers without occupations
	X5	Workers reporting occupations, unidentifiable and un-classifiable
	X9	Workers not reporting occupations.

Table IV.3: Growing, Stagnant & Declining
Occupations

ALL - INDIA

Occupation Code

Fast Growing	-	07 R, 09 R, 12 R, 60 R, 65 R, 65 U, 74 U, 76 R, 86 R, 86 U, 97 R, 99 R
Moderately growing	-	03 R, 04 R, 05 R, 06 R, 09 R, 10 R, 11 R, 11 U, 20 R, 22 R, 31 R, 63 R, 32 R, 68 R, 75 R, 78 R, 67 R, 76 U, 83 U, 90 R, 97 U, 99 U
Declining	-	08 R, 08 U, 13 R, 13 U, 40 R, 40 U, 73 R, 89 R, 89 U.
Fast declining	-	01 R, 07 U, 10 U, 42 U, 70 R, 73 U, 82 R.

the All-India tables is that at the micro-level the number of occupational groups in the declining and growing ranges is higher while that in the indeterminate range of -25% to +50% is much lower than in the All-India case. The number is particularly high in the declining range in the districts of Gurgaon and Mahendragarh. The occupational groups which show a pronounced tendency towards growth and decline (i.e., fall above +50% or below -25%) both in the rural and urban areas in these three districts, are listed below :

Gurgaon District

Growing Occupations:

1. Teachers
2. Jurists
3. Stenographers & Typists
4. Office Machine Operators
5. Conductors, Guards & Brakesmen (Railway)
6. Electricians & Related Electrical & Electronic Workers.
7. Tobacco Preparers and Products Makers.

Declining Occupations

1. Directors and Managers, Wholesale and Retail Trades.
2. Directors, Managers & Working Proprietors, Others.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Furnacemen, Rollers, Drawers, Moulders and Related Metal Making & Treating Workers.
8. Millers, Bakers, Brewmasters and Related Food and Beverage Workers.
9. Craftsmen and Production Process Workers. n.e.c.
10. Labourers, n.e.c.
11. Building Care-takers, Cleaners & Related Workers.

Karnal District

Growing Occupations

1. Teachers
2. Jurists
3. Directors, Managers and Working Proprietors, Financial Institutions.
4. Stenographers and Typists.
5. Office Machine Operators.
6. Conductors, Guards and Brakemen (Railway)
7. Electricians and Related Electrical and Electronics Workers.
8. Tobacco Preparers and Products Makers.

Declining Occupations

1. Artists, Writers & Related Workers
2. Administrators, and Executive Officials, Government.
3. Directors, Managers & Working Proprietors, Others.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Spinners, Weavers, Knitters, Dyers and Related Workers.
8. Furnacemen, Rollers, Drawers, Moulders and Related Metal Making and Treating Workers.
9. Millers, Bakers, Brewmasters and Related Food & Beverage Workers.
10. Craftsmen and Production Process Workers, n.e.c.
11. Housekeepers, Cooks, Maids & Related Workers.
12. Waiters, Bartenders & Related Workers.
13. Building Caretakers, Cleaners & Related Workers.

Mahendragarh District

Growing Occupations

- 1.
- 2.

3. Drivers & Firemen, Railway Engine and Drivers, Road Transport.
4. Conductors, Guards & Brakesmen (Railway)
5. Tool-makers, Machinists, Plumbers, Welders, Platers & Related Workers.
6. Electricians and Related Electrical & Electronics Workers.
7. Testers, Packers, Sorters and Related Workers.
8. Stationary Engine & Excavating and Lifting Equipment Operators and Related Workers.
9. Building Caretakers, Cleaners and Related Workers.

Declining Occupations

1. Biologists, Veterinarians, Agronomists and Related Scientists.
2. Artists, Writers and Related Workers
- 3.
4. Directors, and Managers, Wholesale and Retail Traders.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Postmen & Messengers.
8. Spinners, Weavers, Knitters, Dyers & Related Workers.
9. Furnacemen, Rollers, Drawers, Moulders & Related Metal Making and Treating Workers.
10. Painters and Paper Hangers.
11. Potters, Kilnmen, Glass & Clay Formers & Related workers.
12. Millers, Bakers, Brewmasters & related Food and Beverage Workers.

From a glance at the above list, it may be observed that there are a few occupational groups which show a clear tendency for growth in Gurgaon as well as in Karnal. These are Teachers, Jurists, Stenographers and Typists, Office Machine Operators, Electrical Workers

Table IV.4: Pattern of Occupational Change
in Gurgaon District

<u>Division/ Group based on 1958 ECO</u>		<u>Percentage of workers in each group to the 000 in ALL DVN.</u>	<u>Percentage of workers in each group (1971)</u>	<u>Rate of change of percentage with reference to (1961)</u>
(1)		(1961)		
ALL DVN -	R	100	-	-
	U	100	-	-
DVN - 0	R	3.690	-	-
	U	7.264	-	-
Gr. 00	R	.022	-	-
	U	.436	-	-
01	R	-	-	-
	U	.023	.025	212.5
02	R	.025	.027	3.0
	U	.182	2.673	1368.681
03	R	.691	.480	-29.573
	U	.440	.376	-914.545
04	R	.893	.581	-934.933
	U	2.309	4.768	106.496
05	R	3.684	5.034	36.645
	U	.020	-	-100.000
06	R	.361	.395	9.418
	U	.083	.093	12.048
07	R	.215	.056	-73.95
	U	.293	.037	-37.37
08	R	.104	.006	-94.23
	U			

Contd....2...

Table IV.4

- 2 -

DVN/ Group NCC-1958		% age of workers (1961)	% age of workers (1971)	Rate of change of % age w.r. to (1961)	DVN/ Group NCC-1958		% age of workers (1961)	% age of workers (1971)	Rate of change of %age w.r. (1961)
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)
09	R	.011	.102	827.27	DVN-3	R	8.654	-	-
	U	.209	.654	212.92		U	18.443	-	-
0A, 96	R	.312	.232	-25.64	Gr. 30	R	7.216	8.061	11.71
	U	.629	.327	-48.33		U	12.946	13.386	3.40
DVN 1	R	4.407	-	-	31	R	.040	.009	-77.50
	U	5.383	-	-		U	.424	.364	-14.15
Gr. 10	R	4.231	11.145	163.51	32	R	1.323	.641	-51.91
	U	3.100	.896	-71.10		U	5.053	3.269	-35.33
11	R	.026	.018	-30.77	34	R	.016	-	-100.00
	U	.456	.043	-91.15		U	.025	-	-100.00
12	R	.024	.027	12.50	DVA-4	R	7.970		
	U	.055	.117	112.73		U	1.932		
13	U	.127		-100.00	Gr. 40	R	1.328	.009	-99.32
	U	1.742	.080	-95.41		U	.977	.019	-98.06
DVN 2	R	1.638			41	R	6.443	2.968	-53.91
	U	3.905				U	.777	.926	19.18
Gr. 20	R	.072	.846	1075.00	42	R	.006	-	-100
	U	1.682	1.748	3.92		U	.004	-	-100
21,22	R	.046	.065	41.30	43	R	.001	.018	1700.00
	U	.565	.983	73.98		U	.006	.02	233.33
28,29	R	3.219	2.819	-12.55	44	R	.194	.033	-57.22
66,69	U	7.652	8.337	8.95		U	.145	.051	-78.62

Contd....3...

Table 20.4

- 2 -

Age / Group	Age of mother (1971)	Age of father (1971)	Rate of change of F.R.B. (1971)	Age / Group	Age of mother (1971)	Age of father (1971)	Rate of change of percentage F.R.B. (1971)
65-69	2.747	-	-	70	2.679	2.774	- 31.7
	2.751	-	-	U	2.650	2.951	- 31.31
60,50,51							
50,50	2.749	2.930	- 30.55	73	2.671	2.955	- 17.10
	2.744	2.74	157.53	U	1.731	1.130	- 36.1
62,60	2.771			74	2.672	2.911	- 33.43
	2.773			U	1.717	1.979	- 51.50
60,61	2.772		- 120.00	75	2.671	2.870	- 12.60
	2.777	2.824	1100.00	U	2.772	2.911	- 27.45
61,63	2.777	2.777	123.75	76	2.670	2.803	- 34.14
U	2.911	2.913	15.32	U	1.757	1.943	- 122.70
65	2.782	2.827	175.31	77	2.670	2.775	- 6.34
	2.811	2.817	200.46	U	1.697	1.732	- 5.21
67	2.829	2.925	- 30.75	78	2.670	2.713	- 15.08
	2.774	2.774	39.77	U	2.874	2.545	- 27.74
68	2.824	2.891	70.13	79	2.669	2.641	- 14.73
	2.807	2.791	- 17.26	U	1.400	2.598	- 46.96
69, 7-8	2.8390	-	-	80	2.663	2.711	- 76.19
	2.8389	-	-	U	2.705	1.250	- 73.72
70	2.847	2.929	- 30.77	81	2.673	2.690	- 5.31
	2.873	2.860	- .35	U	1.676	1.340	- 19.76
71	2.498	2.735	- 21.81	82	2.721	1.442	- 47.58
	2.650	1.940	- 26.79	U	2.719	1.562	- 42.86

Contd....4...

Table IV.4

- 4 -

DVN/ Group		% age of workers (1961)	% age of workers (1971)	Rate of change of % w.r.t. (1961)	DVN/ Group		% age of workers (1961)	% age of workers (1971)	Rate of change of % w.r.t. (1961)
NOO-1953		(1)	(2)	(3)	NOO-1953		(4)	(5)	(6)
83	R	.076	.065	-16.67	95	R	.406	.317	-21.92
	U	.385	.346	-10.13		U	.547	.513	- 6.22
84	R	.001	-	-100	97	R	.001	-	-100.00
	U	.016	.025	56.25		U	.051	.074	45.10
85	R	1.396	.996	-28.65	99	R	.040	.107	167.50
	U	2.033	.364	-82.10		U	.018	.006	-66.67
86	R	.054	.275	409.26	D-X	R	.702	.009	-98.72
	U	.510	.469	-8.04		U	.111	.389	250.45
87	R	.051	.604	1084.31	Gr. X8	R	.517	.009	-98.26
	U	.605	.414	-31.57		U	.010	.297	2370.00
89	R	16.666	10.423	-37.46	X9	R	.185	-	-100.00
	U	13.116	8.243	-37.15		U	.102	.003	- 3.82
DVN 9	R	18.869	-	-		R			
	U	8.688	-	-		U			
90	R	2.945	2.465	-16.30		R			
	U	2.459	2.211	-10.09		U			
91,92	R	.492	.910	84.96		R			
	U	1.457	.921	-36.79		U			
93	R	12.710	4.365	-65.66		R			
	U	3.192	1.804	-43.48		U			
94	R	2.277	2.615	14.84		R			
	U	.906	2.465	172.08		U			

Table IV.5: Growing, Stagnant & Declining Occupations

DISTRICT GURGAON

(OCC Classification of NCC-1998)

Occupation Code

Fast Growing	-	01 U, 03 R, 06 R, 07 U, 08 R, 08 U, 09 R, 09 U, 20 R, 60 U, 62 U, 65 R, 65 U, 74 R, 74 U, 76 R, 78 R, 86 R, 87 R
Moderately growing	-	05 R, 10 R, 12 U, (50,51,52,59) U, (61,63,64) R, 75 R, 76 U, 94 U, 99 R, 67 U, 68 R, 79 U, 80 R, 80 U, 84 U, (91, 92) R.
Declining	-	10 U, 11 U, 13 R, 13 U, (21,22) U, 31 R, 34 R, 34 U, 40 R, 40 U, 42 R, 42 U, 44 R, 44 U, (50, 20) R, 73 R, 84 R, 85 U, 93 R, 97 R, 99 U, X-R
Fast Declining	-	03 U, 04 U, (0x,96) U, 11 R, (32,33) R, 41 R,(59) R, (51,52,59) R, 68 U, 70 R, 71 U, 72 R, 73 U, 75 U, 82 R, 82 U, 85 R, 87 U, 89 R, 89U, (91 to 93) U.

Table IV.6: Growing, Stagnant and
Declining Occupations

Mahendargarh (HARYANA)

Occupation Code 1958 ICG

Fast Growing - 07 R, 10 R, 41 R, 44 R (61,63,64) R,
65 R, 65 U, 75 R, 76 R, 86 R, 87 R,
X U, X8 U, X9 R, X9 U,

Moderately Growing - 05 U, 09 U, (21,22) U, 34 U, 44 U,
(50,51, 52,59) R, 67 U, 74 R, 76 U,
80 U, 83 U, 86 U, 97 U, 03 R, 06 U,
41 U, (61,63,64) U, 75 U, 85 R, 87 U,
89 R, (91,92) R, 93 R, 93 U.

Neutral Range

Declining - 02 U, 03 U, (96, 0x) U, 68 U, 71 R,
72 R, 81 R, 81 U, 90 U.

Fast declining - 02 R, 04, 08 U, (96, 0x) R, 06 R,
08 R, 09 R, 10 U, 11 R, 11 U, 12 R,
12 U, 13 R, 13 U, (21,22) R, (32,33) R,
34 R, 40 R, 40 U, 42 R (50,51,52,59) U,
67 R, 68 R, 70 R, 70 U, 73 R, 73 U, 78 R,
78 U, 80 R, 82 U, 82 R, 83 R, 84 R, 85 U,
95 U, 99 U.

Table IV.7: Growing, Stagnant and Declining
Occupations

District Karnal (Haryana)

Occupations Code 1958 NCO

Fast Growing	-	05 R, 05 U, 06 U, 09 U, 12 R, 12 U, (60,62) U, 65 R, 65 U, 75 R, 76 R, 84 R, 87 R, X U, X8 U, X9 U.
Moderately growing	-	03 R, 11 U, (21, 22) R, 44 U, (61,63) R, 67 R, 74 U, 76 U, 78 U, 90 R, 97 U, (21,22) U, (28,29) R, (66,69) R, 31 U, 43 R, 68 R, 79 U, 83 U, 84 U, 94 R
Neutral Zone		
Declining	-	09 R, (96, 98) U, 10 R, 31 R, 34 U, 70 U, 71 U, 82 U, 85 R, 89 U, (91,92) U, 93 U.
Fast declining	-	01 R, 07 U, 08 R, 03 U, 10 U, 13 R, 13 U, 20 R, (32, 33) R, 34 R, 40 R, 40 U, 42 R, 42 U, 43 U, 44 R, (50, 51, 52, 59) R, (50,51,52,59) U, 70 R, 73 R, 73 U, 75 U, 83 R, 85 U, (91,92) R, 93 R, 99 R, XR, X8 R, X9 R.

Conductors, Guards and Brakesmen, Tobacco Preparers and Product Makers. The pattern of occupational growth potential is somewhat different in the case of Mahendragarh. Similarly, among the relatively declining occupations, some of the occupational groups common to at least two of the above districts are the Spinners & Weavers; Furnacemen, Rollers, etc.; Millers, Bakers, Brewmasters etc.; Craftsmen and Production Process Workers, n.e.c.; Artists, Writers and Related Workers.

IV.11d Vocational Implications

Next we may attempt to translate the above analysis in terms of the vocational courses which are likely to need special emphasis to support anticipated expansion of the growing occupations. For this purpose we turned to table II.6 which attempts to give the vocational course needs of each two digit level occupation. On the basis of this linkage table, the following courses would need extra emphasis in the case of Gurgaon district:

<u>Course No.</u>	<u>Course Name</u>
x 2	Construction Technology (U)
00 3	Engineering Assistants Course (R & U)
5	Teachers Training (R)
x 6	Banking and Insurance (U)
x 7	Telecommunications (U)
8	Special Language Course (R & U)
x 19	Food Preservation (U)
00 22	Commercial Arithmetic (R)
00 x 32	Interior Decoration & Commercial Designing (R)
00 xx36	Mechanical Workshop & Electrical Workshop Courses (R & U)
00 xx 40	Introductory Mechanical Engineering Courses (R & U)

- oo 41 Introductory Civil Engineering Course (R &U)
- 00xx 42 Introductory Electrical Engineering Course (R & U)
- oo 43 Basic Commerce Course (R & U)
- oo 44 Fine Arts (R & U)
- 47 Home Science (R)

In the above list the courses which have been marked by an asterisk sign (x) are those which did not appear in the list of Feasible Courses derived in table II.8 on the basis of the 1971 occupational structure, but appear now when growth potential of occupations is used as the criterion. The courses marked by double xx are those which appear against more than two growing occupations and thus are likely to be having comparatively good growth potential. Similarly the courses marked by double o (oo) are those which link up with occupations which registered very steep expansion during the sixties according to Population Census data. As indicated earlier, very fast growing occupations have been defined by us as those in whose case their proportionate position improved by more than +200% during 1961-71. Thus the courses which bear double x as well as double o signs should be expected to have fairly assured prospects of demand. In a similar manner the list of vocations in the districts of Karnal & Mahendragarh needing emphasis on the basis of their growth trend during the sixties is given below :

District Karnal

<u>Course No.</u>	<u>Course Name</u>
x 2	... Construction Technology (U)
oo x 3	... Engineering Assistant Courses (U)

<u>Course No.</u>	<u>Course Name</u>
oo 5	Teachers Training (R & U)
oo 6	Banking & Insurance (R & U)
x 7	Telecommunications (R)
8	Special Language Course (R & U)
x 10	Typewriter & Duplicator Mechanic (R & U)
11	Salesmanship & Marketing (U)
x 14	Forestry (U)
oo 19	Food Preservation (U)
x 28	Glass Technology (U)
x 29	Plastic Technology (U)
xx 32	Interior Decoration & Commercial Designing (U)
oo xx 36	Mechanical Workshop & Electrical Workshop Course (R & U)
oo 37	Heat Engines (R)
x 38	Fertiliser Technology (U)
x 39	Ceramic Processes (U)
oo xx 40	Introductory Mechanical Engineering (R & U)
oo xx 42	Introductory Electrical Engineering (R & U)
oo 41	Introductory Civil Engineering (R & U)
oo xx 43	Basic Commerce Course (R & U)

District Mahendragarh

<u>Course No.</u>	<u>Course Name</u>
x 3	Engineering Assistants Course (U)
5	Teachers Training (U)
6	Banking & Insurance (U)
8	Special Language Course (U)
x 10	Typewriter & Duplicator Mechanic (U)
x 14	Forestry (R & U)
x 28	Glass Technology (U)
x 29	Plastic Technology (U)
x 32	Interior Decoration & Commercial Designing (U)
oo xx 36	Mechanical & Electrical Workshop (R&U)

<u>Course No.</u>	<u>Course Name</u>
oo 37	Heat Engines (U)
38	Fertilizer Technology (U)
39	Ceramic Processes (U)
oo xx 40	Introductory Mechanical Engineering (R & U)
oo xx 42	Introductory Electrical Engineering (R & U)

The asterisk (x), the double x and double o signs in the above lists have the same significance as in case of the Gurgaon list.

IV.2 Quantitative Estimates and Implications of Occupational Change

This brings us to the second approach. The preceding analysis was more of a qualitative character intended to identify the growing and declining occupations and their broad implications for vocational courses. As illustrated in chapter II, the relative magnitude of student in-take into the various vocational courses should take account of the occupational structure existing at any point of time as also the envisaged changes on account of the trend element. Thus, having derived the 1961 and 1971 occupational structures and having estimated the rate of change in the percentage of manpower engaged in each two digit level occupational group for a few districts, the objective was to modify the percentage occupational structure based on Census 1971 on the basis of the assumption that the broad trend of 1961-71 occupational change would continue for the next decade when those qualifying from the +2 stage would come on to the job market. Once the modified occupational structure were derived, the next step would be to apply the methodology of section II.6 to

to this modified structure, thus enabling identification of vocational courses and their quantitative structure on the basis of the base position as well as the trend element.

But there were difficulties in evolving a methodology for deriving a modified occupational structure which would appropriately reflect the position likely to prevail about a decade after 1971. The first problem we encountered and the method which we adopted are best elucidated with the help of a simple hypothetical illustration. Suppose, as shown in table IV.8, there were three occupations in an economy employing 10, 60 & 30 thousand workers in 1961 and 18, 60 and 42 thousand workers in 1971. The problem is to make the best possible estimate of the occupational structure in 1981 on the assumption that 1961-71 trend for each occupational group would continue. One possible method is to linearly extrapolate 1961-71 rate of change of percentage of manpower engaged in each occupation and on this basis derive percentage occupational structure for 1981, as given in column 6 of table IV.8. But these percentages are inconsistent for they add up to more than 100%. What it shows is that methodologically linear extrapolation of the rate of change cannot be applied to each component of a variable and yield results which would be consistent with extrapolation of the aggregate at its rate of change. But if we extrapolate not the rate of change of the percentage of manpower employed in each occupational group but absolute change in the percentage of manpower employed in each occupational group, we can get consistent results as shown by column (7) of table IV.8. Here positive and negative

changes in the percentages add up to an equal figure, thus bringing the total of component percentages to one hundred. It is this method which has basically been used by us for modifying the 1971 occupational structure of Gurgaon district on an experimental basis.

But in application of this method three further difficulties had to be resolved. The first difficulty arose out of the problem already referred to, viz., that caused by what appears to be erratic identification of occupations in the Censuses of 1961 & 1971. Should be incorporate full absolute change in percentage of manpower engaged in a particular occupational group even in cases where change was extremely high or low and in all probability the consequence of either wrong occupational categorisation or of definitional changes? This did not seem to be justifiable. Therefore, we devised a scheme of deflating the larger positive and negative changes. For all those occupational groups where percentage increase in their percentage share was more than +200%, the absolute increase in percentage during 1961-71 was divided by four before being added to the respective 1971 percentage. Between 0 and + 50% increase in the percentage share of any occupational group, the 1971 percentage share of that occupational group was retained without any change. In between these two ranges as also in case of the negative absolute changes, a graded system of adjustment was adopted, which is outlined

below:

<u>Direction and magnitude of occupational change</u>	<u>Deflation factor (by which actual absolute 1961-71 changes are to be divided)</u>
0 to + 50 % ...	1
+ 50% to + 100% ...	2
+ 100% to + 200% ...	3

+ 200%	...	4
0 to - 25%	...	1
-25%to - 50%	...	2
-50% to - 99%	...	4
- 100%	...	

As may be observed, deflation factors for the negative range have been given higher values for a much smaller percentage change than in the case of positive range. This obviously has been done for in case of negative range, the maximum limit is -100%, whereas in positive direction, theoretically there is no upper limit. One further point to be explained is that all those occupational groups for which there had been a 100% decline during 1961-71, i.e. where 1971 figures were zero - and there were occupational groups of this type - the deflation factor was taken to be infinity. What this amounts to is that in the modified occupational structure such occupational groups are assigned zero percentage. This step was essential, for in its absence the modified occupational structure would have contained negative values. After application of the deflation factors to absolute changes in percentages, the relative trend of change for different occupational groups is still retained, but they tend to eliminate or at least reduce highly erratic type of occupational changes.

Now we come to the second difficulty and the manner in which it has been resolved. After the deflated values of absolute change between 1961 and 1971 had been worked out for each 2 digit level occupational group, there was

nothing to ensure that the sum of deflated positive and negative absolute changes would be exactly equal, though randomly cancelling errors were likely to make them nearly equal. Their equality is a necessary condition to avoid inconsistency, for in case they were not equal and were added to and subtracted from the 1971 occupational percentages to derive the modified occupational structure, all the percentages of this modified occupational structure would not add up to 100. In order to get over this inconsistency, the procedure decided upon was like this. The difference between the sum of positive and negative absolute changes should be found out. If the sum of positive changes exceeded that of negative ones, the value of each absolute positive change should be reduced roughly in proportion to its size, such that total reduction should equal the magnitude by which aggregate of deflated positive absolute changes exceeded the aggregate of negative ones. On the other hand, if the sum of negative absolute changes exceeded the sum of positive ones, the reverse procedure should be followed.

The procedure for the above step may be illustrated with reference to Gurgaon. After the absolute changes in occupational percentages had been calculated by subtracting column (2) from column (3) of table IV.4, the positive and negative deviations were aggregated separately, yielding the following estimates:

URBAN SECTOR (GURGAON)

$\Sigma +ve$ deviations = + 5.255%	No. of occupational Groups responsible for these deviations = 18
$\Sigma -ve$ deviations = - 6.740%	-do- = 19
Net Difference = - 1.485 %	

RURAL SECTOR (GURGAON)

Σ +ve deviations = + 6.9%	No. of occupational groups responsible for these deviations	= 18
Σ -ve deviations = - 9.862%	-do-	= 22
Net Difference = - 2.962 %		

Thus in the case of urban sector the net difference of -1.485% points was distributed proportionately among the nineteen negative deviation occupational categories and in rural sector it was distributed among the 22 negative deviation categories. The adjusted magnitudes of absolute occupational change thus derived are given in table IV.9. These absolute magnitudes of occupational change were added to or subtracted from the respective occupational group percentages of 1971 as given in column (3) of table IV.4. We thus derived table IV.10, which gives the desired modified occupational structure for Gurgaon after incorporating the trend effect.

IV.3 Modified Vocational Course Structure for Gurgaon

But the last difficulty before arriving at a modified quantitative vocational course structure and the step necessary for tackling it still remain to be elucidated. The whole of the above exercise has been based upon estimating and incorporating the trend in terms of occupational classification of the total labour force whereas from the point of view of quantitative estimates for the vocational courses, it is changes in matriculate level occupational structure which should have been estimated. The problem here was that in 1961 Census the educational break up of work force within the different occupations was not available at two digit level classification. This made it impossible to apply

Table 17.6 : PERCENTAGE ALTERATION OF OCCUPATIONAL CHANGES

(1) Occupation	Workers in 1961		Workers in 1971		(6) Percentage structure for 1981 on the basis of linear extrapolation of rate of each occupational group during 1961-71	(7) Percentage structure for 1981 on the basis of linear extrapolation of absolute change in percentage for each occupation group during 1961-71
	(2) Number	(3) Percentage	(4) Number	(5) Percentage		
Occupation A	10,000	10 %	13,000	15 %	23.3 %	20 %
Occupation B	60,000	60 %	60,000	50 %	44.2 %	40 %
Occupation C	30,000	30 %	40,000	35 %	42.3 %	40 %
	<u>100,000</u>	<u>100 %</u>	<u>123,000</u>	<u>100 %</u>	<u>115.0 %</u>	<u>100 %</u>

Table IV.9 : Estimates of Adjusted Occupational Change

G-URGAON DISTRICT

<u>URBAN</u>		<u>RURAL</u>	
<u>Occupational Group (1958 Code)</u>	<u>Adjusted occupational change</u>	<u>Occupational Group (1958 Code)</u>	<u>Adjusted occupational change</u>
01	+ 0.004	03	+ 0.623
03	- .060	05	+ 0.820
04	- .091	09	+ 0.023
07	- 0.040	10	+ 2.306
09	+ 0.111	11	- 0.004
0x 96	- .091	20	+ 0.192
10	- .425	31	- 0.008
12	+ .021	32,33	- 0.150
21,22	+ 0.210	41	- 0.624
43	+ .001	43	+ 0.004
44	- 0.029	44	- 0.028
50-59	+ 0.009	50-59	- .100
60-62	+ 0.006	61,63,64	+ 0.534
65	+ 0.052	65	+ 0.046
67	+ 0.052	68	+ 0.085
68	- 0.088	70	- 0.519
71	- 0.262	72	- 0.701
73	- 0.126	73	- 0.204
74	+ 1.565	74	+ 0.510
75	+ 0.931	75	+ 0.623
76	+ 0.794	76	+ 0.642
79	+ 0.554	78	+ 0.044
80	+ 0.278	80	+ 0.024
82	- .475	82	- 0.460
84	+ 0.005	85	- 0.075
85	- 0.313	86	+ 0.055
87	- 0.096	87	+ 0.138
89	- 2.402	89	- 2.381
91,92	- 0.182	91,92	+ 0.209
93	- 0.572	93	- 1.546
94	+ 0.520	99	+ 0.022
99	- 0.003 + 0.070	x 3	- 0.100
x 8	+ 0.072		

Table IV. 10: Projected Occupational Structure
Incorporating Trend Element

GURGAON DISTRICT

Division/ Group based on 1958 NCO	RURAL	URBAN	Division/ Group	RURAL	URBAN	Dvn/ Group	RURAL	URBAN
All Divisions			44	.065	.002	93	2.819	1.232
Dvn - 0			5-5			94	2.615	2.985
Group-00			50,51, 57,59	.330	.058	95	.317	.513
01	-	.029	5-6			97	-	.074
02	.027	.037	60,62	-	.030	99	.129	.003
03	3.296	.428	61,63,64	3.332	3.342	D-X	.009	.459
04	.376	.490	65	.279	.299	x8	.009	.369
05	4.768	5.024	67	.055	.330	x9	-	.093
06	-	.395	68	.476	.103			
07	.093	.016	67-8					
08	.037	.006	70	2.040	2.360			
09	.125	.765	71	2.735	1.678			
0x, 96	.232	.235	72	3.047	2.551			
Dvn 1			73	.251	1.004			
Gr. 10	13.455	.471	74	3.421	3.964			
11	.014	.043	75	3.463	5.842			
12	.027	.138	76	3.507	5.037			
13	-	.080	77	3.373	1.452			
Dvn-2			78	.257	.345			
Gr. 20	1.038	1.748	79	3.341	3.152			
21,22	.065	1.193	80	.135	1.538			
28,29,66, 69,	2.615	8.337	81	5.930	1.340			
Dvn-3			82	0.982	1.113			
Gr. 30	8.061	13.386	83	0.065	.346			
31	.001	.364	84	-	.030			
32,33	.641	3.269	85	.921	.051			
34	-	-	86	.330	.469			
Dvn- 4			87	.742	.318			
Gr. 40	.009	.019	89	8.042	5.841			
41	2.244	.926	D-9					
42	-	-	90	2.465	2.211			
43	.014	.019	91,92	1.119	.739			

the procedure outlined above directly to the matriculate level occupational structure. The next best alternative was to try to derive matriculate level occupational structure from the modified occupational structure of table IV.10 with the help of 1971 proportions of matriculates among total workers in each occupational group. This transformation can be achieved through equation (2) of chapter II, page _____. The transformation was achieved by selecting 1971 percentages of matriculates among total workers in two digit level occupational groups of Gurgaon, for comparable 1961 two digit level occupational groups since the modified occupational structure of table IV.10 is in terms of 1961 categories. These percentages of matriculates, which are given in columns (2) & (4) of table IV.11, for rural and urban sectors of Gurgaon respectively, were applied to table IV.10 to derive the desired modified matriculate level manpower structure as given in columns (3) & (5) of table IV.11.

The last step was to transform this matriculate level manpower structure into a structure of vocational course requirements with the help of occupational-education linkage table II.6 in the same manner as was done in Section II.7. We thus finally obtained table IV.12, which gives a vocational-academic course structure for Gurgaon based upon 1971 occupational structure as also upon a linear extension of occupational trends during 1961-71. Using the same cut off points as in section II.7, the courses which lie above the cut off point and hence can be considered as feasible may be identified by an asterisk placed against the course numbers in table IV.12. A comparison of the

list of asterisked courses in table IV.12 with the list of feasible vocational courses for Gurgaon as given in table II.8 shows that there is no substantial change in the list of feasible courses, though the proportions assigned to different courses have undergone a change. But in the light of various assumptions which had to be made on account of difficulties in comparability of 1961 & 1971 Censuses, it is difficult to place too much reliance upon the quantitative differences in the proportional structure of courses revealed by table IV.12 in comparison to table II.8. It appears, therefore, that as far as selection of feasible courses goes, the case of Gurgaon reveals that the more complex procedure incorporating the trend element experimented within this and the previous section does not score much over the simpler procedure adopted for vocation identification in the last two chapters. This procedure is not repeated for other districts. The more useful way of identifying courses likely to come into prominence as a result of the trend of occupational change appears to be to spot the growing and decaying occupations and assess their vocational implications as in section I (b) to I (c) of this chapter. Thus qualitative analysis of occupational change and its vocational implications seems to be more rewarding than the quantitative one.

Table IV.11 : Projected Matriculate Level
Occupational Structure

Division/ Group based on 1958 NCO	RURAL		URBAN	
	Percentage of Matricu- lates to workers in each group	% age of Matriculates in each group to total matricu- lates (3)	% age of Matricula- tes to workers in each group	% age of Matriculates in each group to total matriculates
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
All Division				
Gr. - 00				
01	-	-	-	-
02	-	-	-	-
03	32.06	5.65	29.11	.70
04	35.00	.70	38.30	1.10
05	68.36	17.35	21.72	6.33
06	-	-	15.65	.35
07	96.00	.43	33.33	.06
08	100.0	.22	-	-
09	72.73	.48	45.28	2.03
0x, 96	12.00	.11	11.32	.17
Dvn - 1				
Gr. - 10	49.15	35.58	57.14	1.57
11	-	-	-	-
12	66.67	.11	21.95	.17
13	-	-	30.77	.17
Dvn - 2				
Gr. 20	72.53	4.04	42.05	4.30
21,22	71.43	.27	64.78	4.47
28,29,66,69	57.10	8.67	45.29	21.95
Dvn - 3				
Group-30	2.54	1.08	12.24	9.52
31	100	-	25.42	.52
32, 33	10.14	.69 38	10.20	1.92
34	-	-	-	-
Dvn - 4				
Group-40	-	-	-	-
41	2.19	.09 27	2.67	.12
42	-	-	-	-
43	-	-	-	-

Contd.....2....

Table IV.11

- 2 -

Division/ Group based on 1958 NCO (1)	RURAL		URBAN	
	% age of matricula- tes to workers in each group. (2)	% age of Matriculates in each group to total matriculates (3)	% age of Matricula- tes to workers in each group (4)	% age of Matriculates in each group to total matriculates (5)
Group - 44	44.44	.11	40.00	-
Division - 5				
Gr. 50,51,52,59	-	-	25.00	.06
Divn. -6				
Gr. -60,61	-	-	30.00	.12
61,63, 64	9.63	1.72	6.10	1.16
65	44.00	.65	45.00	.75
67	33.33	.11	43.89	.93
68	40.48	1.02	33.71	.23
Divn. 7-8				
Gr. - 70	8.36	.91	8.64	1.16
71	4.08	.59	3.50	.35
72	4.71	.75	10.17	1.51
73	14.29	.32	7.65	.46
74	13.42	2.48	24.04	12.49
75	13.44	2.53	21.76	7.38
76	13.64	2.53	26.06	7.61
77	2.49	.43	7.23	.58
78	8.70	.11	16.13	.35
79	1.39	.27	3.52	.64
80	8.33	.05	30.39	2.73
81	0.63	.22	7.37	.58
82	10.97	5.33	10.51	.64
83	-	-	21.43	.41
84	-	-	25.00	.06
85	14.02	.70	15.25	.06
86	6.67	.11	11.84	.35
87	24.62	.97	17.91	.35
89	0.89	.38	3.22	1.10
Divn - 9				
Group- 90	9.43	1.24	14.33	1.86
91,92	4.76	.09 27	3.33	.12

Contd...3...

Table IV.11

- 3 -

Division/ Group based on 1958 NCO (1)	RURAL		URBAN	
	% age of Matricula- tes to workers in each group (2)	% age of Matriculates in each group to total Matriculates (3)	% age of Matricula- tes to workers in each group (4)	% age of Matriculates in each group to total Matriculates (5)
Group - 93	0.64	.11	0.34	-
94	4.63	.65	-	"
95	"	"	4.82	.12
97	"	"	50.00	.23
99	"	"	100.00	"
Dvn - X				
Group- X8	"	"	4.17	.12
X 9	"	"	13.33	.06

Table IV.12 : Modified Vocational Structure
(Converted to Level of Effort)

Distribution of students

Course Code (according to Table III. 7) <u>O.S.V.C.</u>	<u>percentage of students assigned to each course</u>	
	RURAL	URBAN
1.		
2	.27	.64
3	.43	2.05
4	.70	1.10
5	17.55	6.33
6	.11	.69
7	.11	.23
8	4.53	15.95
9		
10	.14	2.24
11	1.46	11.44
12		
13		
14	.11	-
15	.22	.46
16		
17	.91	1.16
18	.75	1.51
19	2.67	.35
20	.43	.58
21		
22	4.04	4.30
23	.65	-
24	.59	.35
25		
26		
27		
28	.22	.68
29	-	.1
30		
31	.05	2.73

Table IV. 12

- 2 -

- 1974 -

<u>Course Code</u>	<u>RURAL</u>	<u>URBAN</u>
33		
34		
35		
36	5.06	20.10
37	.97	.35
38	-	.1
39	-	.1

Common Core Course

40	9.46	20.98
41	.31	.82
42	4.53	11.10
43	6.02	13.96
44	.76	.60
45	4.43	13.22
46	.45	1.22
47	2.03	2.39
48	.22	.46
49	.91	1.16
50	-	-
51	-	-

52 Academic subject	69.45	26.55
---------------------	-------	-------

CHAPTER V

VOCATIONAL SURVEYS: A CASE STUDY OF GURGAON

V.1 Vocational Surveys vis-a-vis the Census Based Methodology

In the preceding two chapters we have utilised secondary sources of data for obtaining guidelines regarding the vocational courses which might be considered feasible for different districts. The three main limitations of the methodology based on secondary sources, for our purpose, are;

(i) Even at the two digit level of occupational classification, which runs into over ninety groups, the aggregation within each group is more than desirable for obtaining a sharply defined occupational-vocational correspondence. Population Census data are not available at three digit level classification. But even if they were, there is a problem of trade-off involved here - the narrower the groupings of classification used, the greater the problem of reliability of occupation identification and, as we have seen, this can be a serious problem when assessing inter-temporal trends.

(ii) Secondary sources of data become available with a time lag. In the case of Population Census, as is known, it is carried out at an interval of ten years and the district level data become available only after a few years.

(iii) Temporal analysis based on secondary sources of occupational data can only provide past trends as the basis for assessing future occupational changes. They cannot incorporate the effect of technological change and any other

new developments, as a consequence of which the past trends may not necessarily continue into the future. This is especially so when past trend has to be based on two points of time separately by ten years.

In the light of these points, for obtaining recent picture in terms of more sharply defined occupational categories than the two digit level groups and for assessing future occupational changes likely to arise on account of envisaged expansion of economic activities, vocational field surveys assume significance at the small area level. But it has to be borne in mind that they have limitations of their own and can only be complementary, not a substitute for, the analysis based on secondary sources like the Population Census. The reasons for this are two fold. In the first place, field surveys can be carried out to assess occupational manpower requirements only in selected key sectors. Even through sampling it is not easy to make them as comprehensive as the coverage of Population Census. The time and cost constraints generally would not permit coverage of more than a fraction of all the sectors.

Secondly, even within each sector, in a semi-developed country like India, a high proportion of working force is engaged in self employment, or in employment in very small unorganised units akin to households. It is very difficult to make a full assessment of detailed occupation-wise employment potential for the self employed on the basis of a field survey. Field surveys to assess occupational potential for identification of vocations have a role mainly for units, big or small, in organised segments of productive or service activities. But even within the organised units, the proprietors, particularly the small ones, do not always

schedules^{1/} pertaining to:

- i) A survey of current non-farm establishments.
- ii) A survey of prospective establishments.
- iii) A study of emerging occupations and areas for self-employment as revealed by knowledgeable persons from the fields of business, government, professions etc.
- iv) A survey of occupational needs of plan schemes.

The idea behind canvassing a set of four schedules is essentially to try to enhance the comprehensiveness of the survey approach as far as possible and to obtain quantitative as well as qualitative information. Schedule (i) forms the backbone of the survey approach. Schedule (iii) is mainly supposed to provide information of a qualitative character, which can partly be used as a check upon conclusions derived through the other schedules and the secondary sources.

V.2 The Pace and Pattern of Industrialisation of Gurgaon

We may now take a fleeting glance at the industrial background of Gurgaon district, for the results of the vocational survey shall have to be viewed in the context of its economic structure. Gurgaon is one of the districts which has exhibited a phenomenal pace of industrialisation over the last quarter of this century. While in 1951 there were only about 25 industrial units in existence in the organised sector, that number has by now risen to more than two thousand. Between the Censuses of 1951 & 1971, the proportion of work force dependent upon industries and allied secondary activities within the non-agricultural sector

^{1/} This set of four schedules has been devised by Dr. D.J. Nandedkar, Manpower Officer of Bombay.

have precise idea about their envisaged programmes of expansion. If the coverage of all sectors and their segments through the survey method is not comprehensive and the ideas of the entrepreneurs about future expansion plans are not always very definite, the total picture obtained by aggregation of the individual expansion plans as revealed through survey questionnaires may not be very complete and accurate. It is on account of these pros and cons of vocational planning based on secondary sources and on field surveys, that both approaches have to be used in a complementary manner. The role of vocational surveys in relation to the vocational planning methodology outlined earlier may be viewed as parallel to that of a magnified inset map in relation to the main map. If, for example, within a map of India, on a 25 miles to an inch scale, one wishes to highlight the details of terrain of Delhi, one can append an inset map of Delhi on a mile to an inch scale. Similarly, vocational surveys can help to provide occupationally more detailed and upto date picture for selected sectors, which can then be viewed in the context of the broader and more comprehensive framework of vocational plans based on Census data.

It was decided to test this supporting role of vocational surveys by conducting an occupational-cum-vocational survey of Gurgaon, on an experimental basis. The basic framework of survey was along the lines discussed at an All-India Conference held at N.C.E.R.T., where it was decided to confine such surveys to the organised non-agricultural sector only and to try to canvass a set of four

increased from 8.21% to almost the double, viz., 16.15%, as against the corresponding All-India increase in this percentage from to over the same period. In view of its rapid industrialisation and its proximity to Delhi - only about 30 Kms. from South Delhi - Gurgaon provided an apt area for trying out the survey schedules.

The main characteristics of the pattern of industrialisation in Gurgaon district are brought out by table V.1, which gives the number of organised industrial units as well as their structure during 1967 and 1972. The largest number of units are concentrated in Cotton Textiles, Basic Metal and Allied Industry, Metal Products, Machinery and Machine Tools, Electrical Apparatus, Chemical & Chemical Products and Wood and Wood Products. General Engineering Industries covering Machine and Machine Tools, Basic Metal and Metal Products Group thus comprise the most dominant type. Employmentwise Rubber Products group comes next in importance to General Engineering and Cotton Textiles within the organised industrial sector.

Although Leather and Leather Products are insignificant in the organised sector, in the cottage industries sector over 40% of employment is in leather tanning and shoe making units. Pottery and carpentry are the other two most important industrial categories in the cottage industry sector.

Major increase in the number of units within the organised sector has also taken place in the six dominant industrial groups, viz., Cotton Textiles, Chemical & Chemical Products, Basic Metal and Alloys, Metal Products, Machinery & Machine Tools and Electrical Appliances. There was stagnation in four main industrial groups, namely,

Beverages, Tobacco & Tobacco Products, Non-metallic mineral Products, Transport Equipment & Parts and 'Other Manufacturing Industries'.

In keeping with the growth in the number of units, industrial production in the district also registered a significant increase. Data for growth of production during 1967-72, as given in table V.2, show that over this period production increased in almost all industries except paper mills, sewing machines and water pipe machinery. Major increments in production were registered by cotton textiles, agricultural implements, steel rolling, cycle parts, scientific instruments and glass tractors.

There are three main centres of industrial and commercial activity in Gurgaon district, viz., Gurgaon town, Faridabad township and Ballabgarh town. Their relative level of industrial development may be gauged from the percentage of workers engaged in secondary activities according to the Censuses of 1961 & 1971 in the five tehsils of Gurgaon, as given in table V.3. This percentage is the highest and has grown at the fastest pace in Ballabgarh tehsil. This is mainly the result of growth of Faridabad township, which falls in Ballabgarh tehsil.

Table V.3

Percentage of workers in secondary activities

	<u>1961</u>	<u>1971</u>
Gurgaon	10.38	13.62
Ballabgarh	22.47	44.67
Palwal	9.07	10.12
Nuh	5.05	6.46
Ferozpur Jhirka	7.36	8.26

Table V.1

Number of working factories industry-wise 1967-72

	1972	Percentage	1967	percentage
1	2	3	4	5
1. Food products	11	2.36	5	1.77
2. Beverage tobacco & tobacco product	1	.21	1	.35
3. Cotton textile	40	8.53	x	
4. Wood, silk & synthetic fibre	5	1.07	x	
5. Jutes, Hemp & Merta textiles	1	.21	x	
6. Textile products	2	.42	3	1.06
7. Wood & Wood products	39	8.36	30	10.63
8. Paper & paper products	15	3.21	6	2.12
9. Leather & leather products	x		x	
10. Rubber plastic and products	20	4.29	18	6.30
11. Chemical & chemical products	31	6.65	9	3.19
12. Non-metallic minerals products	23	4.92	23	8.15
13. Basic metal & allied steel industry	39	8.36	25	8.86
14. Metal products & parts	47	10.08	30	10.63
15. Machinery & machine tools	93	19.95	60	21.27
16. Electrical machinery apparatus appliances	50	10.72	34	12.05
17. Transport equipment & parts	21	4.50	21	7.44
18. Other manufacturing industries	21	4.50	21	7.44
19. Electricity	2	5.42	1	.35
20. Gas & steam	2	.42	1	.35
21. Water works & supply	x		x	
22. Storage & ware housing	1	.21	x	
23. Repair services	2	.42	x	
Total	466		282	

Source: Load Bank Survey Report on Gurgaon

TABLE V.2

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION IN 1967-68 AND 1972-73

	Paper M. Tonnes	Cotton tex. 000 Rs.	Cement	Sugar	Cycles	Sewing Machine No.	Agri. Impliments Rs. Lakh	Steel re- rolling M. Tonnes	Water pipe fitting
1967-68	6783	43335	-	-	-	25595	66	6575	1024
1972-73	5800	74000	-	-	32,000	24250	420	27211	132
	Cycle parts	Scientific instruments 000 Rs.	Glass 000 Rs.	Hosiery	Woollen Tex. 000 Rs.	Power loom weaving Rs. Lakh	Machine Embroidary Rs. 000	Cotton ginning pressing	Tractor No.
1967-68	725	154	5148	-	-	200.36	-	-	2796
1972-73	4705	19297	18000	-	5200	480.00	11,700	-	6095

The relative importance of large, small, ancillary and cottage industry in Gurgaon district and their distribution in the Ballabgarh, Gurgaon and Palwal tehsils are brought out by table V.4. It is evident that while in terms of the number of units, the cottage industries predominate, in terms of value of production, it is the large and medium units which are, as expected, the most important. But what is revealing is that even in terms of employment, it is the large and medium units which are dominant. In terms of the number of units, employment and value of production, Ballabgarh tehsil comes at the top, obviously on account of the location of Faridabad in this tehsil. The number of ancillary units, which are one of the indicators of the strength of inter-industry linkage within an industrial complex, are about three times more prominent in Faridabad than in Gurgaon town.

V.3 The Sample Design

In order to keep the size of the survey within the limits of financial and organisational feasibility, it was decided to conduct the vocational survey in the towns of Gurgaon and Faridabad. The survey has been completed in Gurgaon town and its results are analysed in this chapter, while that in Faridabad is yet to be completed. Since the basic schedule regarding survey of non-farm establishments is meant to cover only the units in the organised sector, in the light of tables V.3 & V.4, it needs to be borne in mind that in the context of overall economy of the district, the survey results pertain to blown up picture of a small, though a vital segment of Gurgaon's economy.

The first step in the process of conducting survey of current non-farm establishments was to obtain the total frame

for the survey, i.e., a list and addresses of all establishments registered with the Employment Office and the Industries Office at Gurgaon. According to the information provided by them, the total number of establishments registered with them in Gurgaon tehsil, located mostly in Gurgaon town and its vicinity, were as follows :

a) Industrial Establishments	- 345
b) Commercial Establishments	- <u>171</u>
Total No. of organised non-farm establishments	- 516

Full coverage could not be undertaken. Resources available permitted covering of about 225 units in Gurgaon town, which provided a fairly large sample of over 40% of the total universe for this centre of the district. Instead of selecting 225 sample units out of the universe of 516 in a completely random manner, a stratified purposive sampling procedure was adopted. In the first stratum the universe was bifurcated into industrial and commercial establishments, aiming at a coverage of 184 industrial units and 35 commercial establishments. In the second stratum all the commercial units were considered as a single homogeneous group and roughly every 5th unit on the list ~~at 500~~ was picked up for selection. Those of the selected units which appeared not to be existing at the addresses or could not be contacted were omitted, thus leaving the final number of commercial units covered to be 35.

The industrial units in the second stratum were, however, sub-classified for the purposes of selecting the final units. This classification was derived on the basis of information regarding the number of units falling under

different two digit level standard industrial groups. Column (4) of table V.5 gives this classification for the 345 units registered with the Industries Office for Gurgaon tehsil. In order to make the final sample as representative for each two digit level industrial category and meaningful as possible, selection of units out of these fourteen industrial categories was done by further stratification in the following manner :

(i) In industrial categories having less than ten units, viz., industrial groups No. 24, 25, 27, 28, 36 and 37, all units were surveyed.

(ii) In the remaining eight industrial groups, the number of units to be covered was roughly 159 and this was divided roughly in proportion to the number of units in each industrial category. The total number of units covered in each industrial category on this basis is given in column 5 of table V.5.

(iii) But within each of these eight industrial categories, for the purposes of final selection, the number of units were further sub-divided into two categories, those employing more than ten workers and those employing less than ten workers. Employment information for this purpose was available with the Industries Office. It was decided to survey all the units employing more than ten workers, for it was found that it was these units who were generally able to give more precise and useful answers to the questionnaire than the smaller units. Among the remaining units employing less than ten workers, in each of the eight industrial groups, the units to be surveyed were selected at random.

The overall scheme of sample stratification described above is visually portrayed in diagram 3.

Table V.4

Production and employment in different type of industries

<u>type of industry</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>percen- tage</u>	<u>Production Rs. crores</u>	<u>Percen- tage</u>	<u>Employ- ment 000's</u>	<u>Percen- tage</u>
Large and medium scale units	80	1.15	115.03	80.93	32	53.33
Small scale units	193	2.77	22.78	16.19	10	11.66
Ancillary small scale units	40	.57	2.81	2.11	1	1.66
Village and cottage units	6630	95.49	1.47	.70	17	28.33

Large Scale Units - 1970-71

<u>Tehsil</u>	<u>No. of units</u>	<u>Production (in Rs. lakh)</u>
Ballabgarh	66	11299.38
Gurgaon	2	154.00

Rest information are not available.

Ancillary Units - 1970-71

Ballabgarh	30	240.40
Gurgaon	9	35.30
Palwal	1	5.50

Small Scale Units - 1970-71

<u>Tehsil</u>	<u>No. of units</u>	<u>Production in (lakh Rs.)</u>	<u>Employment (number)</u>
Ballabgarh	139	1956.10	7906
Palwal	3	14.35	93
Gurgaon	47	303.94	1996

Source: Lead Bank Survey Report on Gurgaon.

Table V.5: Number of Industrial Units in the Universe & the Sample Units

<u>Industrial Group Code</u> (2)	<u>Type of Industry</u> (3)	<u>Total No. of Units</u> (4)	<u>Units covered</u> (5)
20	Food manufacturing Industries except Beverage Industries	14	7
24	Manufacture of Wood, Cork Products except manufacturing of furniture	3	3
25	Spinning, Weaving furnishing of Textile	2	2
27	Paper & Paper products	4	4
28	printing, publishing & allied Industries	6	6
30	Rubber Units and Rubber Products	43	20
Major head	Chemicals & Chemical Products	40	22
33	Manufacture of non-metallic products except products of petroleum and coal	79	30
34	Basic metal industries	20	15
35	Mfg. of metal products except machinery and transport equipment	82	40
36	Mfg. of machinery except electrical machinery	4	4
37	Mfg. of electrical machinery apparatus and appliances	6	6
38	Mfg. of transport equipment	21	15
39	Miscellaneous mfg. industries.	21	10

DIAGRAM 5.

V.4 Analysis of non-farm establishment schedules

This brings us to an analysis of survey schedules for the industrial units. A copy of the questionnaire is attached as appendix A. A number of occupationwise frequency tables were prepared with a view to bringing out the relative importance of different types of skilled workers, what sort of expansion had been exhibited by each type of occupational skill during the preceding two years, the extent of vacancies existing in 1976 and vacancies outstanding for lack of suitable persons. These frequency distributions have been put together in table V.6.

As may be observed, the occupational categories here are different and much finer than those in terms of which our earlier analysis had been carried out. These are the trades and skills in terms of which the actual industrial units categorise their work force and assess their manpower requirements. Nearly one hundred occupational trades into which the surveyed industrial units classified their work force, can broadly be compartmentalised into four broad groups:

- (i) Business Executives and High Level Professional Employees. The first 14 occupational categories of column 1 table V.6 and No. 18 would fall in this group.
- (ii) White Collar Workers: Categories like No. 18, 21-23, 25-35, 59, 60 & 80 would fall in this group.
- (iii) Non-Engineering Trades: Categories like No. 19, 20, 51, 87 to 100 would fall in this group.
- (iv) Engineering Trades: The remaining trades, which account for the largest number, mainly No. 36 to 86 (excluding those already counted) come within this category.

As may be observed from column (8), which gives minimum qualification requirements for these jobs as stated by the employers, almost all the occupational categories in the above group (i) need graduates or engineering graduates and hence fall outside the range of our consideration. Among the remaining groups, a look at column 3 of table V.6 shows that the top six occupational trades with a very high degree of employment potential in Gurgaon, arranged in descending order of importance, are :

- | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| (i) Turners | (ii) Fitters | (iii) Machine Operators |
| (iv) Pressmen | (v) Polisher & Glazer | |
| (vi) Supervisors | (vii) Foremen | |

The future prospects for different occupational skills can be assessed partly from column (5) of table V.6, which gives expansion over the preceding two years and partly from table V.7, which gives employers' view of their expansion programmes. There is a considerable amount of mutually supporting evidence available in these two tables. Some of the occupational trades which appear to be in very keen demand are :-

- | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| i) Turners | ii) Fitters | iii) Machine Operators |
| iv) Moulders | v) Polishers & Glazers | |
| vi) Grindermen | vii) Mixermen | viii) Selectors |
| ix) Tailors | x) Pressmen | xi) Wiremen |
| xii) Lathermen | xiii) Die-fitters and Die-makers | |
| xiv) Openers | xv) Welders | xvi) Salters |

The industries in which these occupational skills are likely to be needed are indicated by row one of table V.7. As may be observed from the above list and the preceding one of the top six occupational skills in terms

of their current employment, five skill categories, viz., Turners, Fitters, Machine Operators, Polisher & Glazers and Pressmen, are important both in terms of their current employment size and the envisaged demand for them. However, Foremen and Supervisers, which occupy a high ranking in terms of their current numbers do not appear to be providing a very bright prospect for expansion in the near future.

From the point of view of vocational implications of table V.6, the occupational groups classified under group (ii) above do not need any specific vocational courses apart from either Course No.8 (Special Languages), Course No. 43 (Basic Commerce Course), Course No. 22 (Commercial Arithmetic) or Course No. 45 (Secretarial Practice).

The bulk of employment as revealed by table V.6 is in occupational groups we have classified above under Engineering Trades. Most of these trades require specific training, the more apt place for which is specialised vocational institutions like I.T.I and Technical Schools. The courses within our list which cater to the demands of Engineering occupations at the middle and lower level are Course Nos. 36 (Mechanical and Electrical Workshops), 37 (Heat Engines), 40 (Introductory Mechanical Engineering) and 42 (Introductory Electrical Engineering). Prospects for these courses in Gurgaon ~~should~~ should be bright and all these (except course No. 37) do appear in the list of feasible courses of table III.8.

As enunciated earlier in Chapter I of this study, the objective should be a harmonious co-ordination between

the school and vocational institutions sector for the purpose of furthering vocationalised education. Since training for a good many of the specific engineering trades listed in table V.6 is more aptly provided in specialised vocational institutions, it would be worthwhile to see the extent to which the vocational institutions existing in Gurgaon cater for the occupational skills listed in table V.6 and specifically for the fast expanding occupational skills enumerated above. The available published data about the various types of courses provided in vocational institutions located in Gurgaon district are reproduced in table V.8 from the IAMR All-India Survey of Technical & Vocational Education and Training. One difficulty about this table for our purpose is that it pertains to the whole of Gurgaon district while for present our analysis of survey schedules is confined to the industrial complex in and around Gurgaon town. To that extent the quantitative figures of in-take capacity given in table V.8 would not be relevant till Faridabad complex is also surveyed. But one can broadly compare the type of skills for which courses are being provided in the vocational institutions and the occupational trades occurring in table V.6, which represent the categories in which employment is being provided at present in Gurgaon and the fast expanding occupational skills which have been listed above. It appears that the range of courses provided in the vocational institutions of Gurgaon is sufficiently broad based to supply a good majority of skills in demand in the industrial sector of Gurgaon. Among the fast expanding list given above, the occupational skills for which there does not seem to be a

corresponding course in existence in table V.8, are listed below. The description given in the brackets against each occupational skill indicates the industry in which the dominant demand for that particular skill arises :

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| i) Polish & Glazers | (Manufacture of Metal Products except Machinery & Transport Equipments). |
| ii) Grindermen | (-do-) |
| iii) Pressmen | (Rubber & Rubber Products
Basic Metal Industries
Manufacture of Transport Equipments) |
| iv) Mixermen | (Rubber & Rubber Products) |
| v) Selectors | (Food Manufacturing except Beverage) |
| vi) Salters | (-do-) |
| vii) Openers | (-do-) |

From the above list it is evident that some of the skills required in Rubber industry, which is a key industry in Gurgaon town, in Food Manufacturing Industry and in Manufacture of Metal Products, do not have adequate training courses and indicate areas where vocational courses could be considered for being initiated. However, it needs to be borne in mind that for many a type of occupational skill, the employers responding to our questionnaire had expressed a preference for employing raw hands and giving them on-the-job training rather than employing pre-trained personnel. The reasons for this varied. Sometimes it was on account of the fact that employers felt that the vocational institutions did not provide adequate training to make a person directly start working on a specific skilled assignment. Others felt that pre-trained persons

had to be paid higher wages and thus labour costs could be economised on by engaging fresh recruits and training them. Sometimes the skills required were very specific to an industry and not so sophisticated as to require a special programme of training in a vocational institution. Thus before initiating any courses for the occupational trades listed above, it would have to be ensured that the courses would be feasible in terms of the depth of skill which needs to be imparted for these trades.

Table V.6 also reveals that apart from the technical and skilled workers, there has been a considerable expansion in two white collar categories, viz., Occupational Trade No. 30 pertaining to Store Assistants and Trade No. 31 pertaining to Clerk & Typists. The vocational courses relevant for these categories, viz., Course Nos. 8, 43 & 45 have already been included in the districtwise feasible lists given in table III.8. It may also be pointed out here that the question regarding vacancies, which has been tabulated in table V.6, did not yield any worthwhile results.

The main results of schedules pertaining to the commercial and office type establishments are presented in table V.9. As may be observed, the bulk of employment in this sector was, in the first place, in the category of teachers and secondly, in white collar or un-skilled job categories like Clerks, & Steno-typists, Village Secretaries, Beldars, Chowkidars and Peons. Only a few technical hands are needed in categories like Line Superintendent, Assistant Foreman and Draughtsman. Another characteristic feature is the almost stagnant level of employment reported for most of the categories between 1974 & 1976, revealing

Table V.6: An analysis of non-farm establishments schedule

Occupation/ Trade	No. of persons working in		% age in each occupa- tion to total in 1976	%age growth in 1976 over 1974	No. of vacan- cies in 1976	Vacancies outstan- ding for want of suitable persons in 1976	Minimum qualifi- cations required
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1. Managing Directors	12	15	.475	25			Graduate
2. Managers	58	71	2.25	22.4			Graduate
3. Production Engineer	3	5	.158	66.6			"
4. Chief Engineer -		1	.031	n.s.			Engg. Grad.
5. Company Secretary	1	1	.031	n.s.			C.A.
6. Personnel Officer	2	2	.063	n.s.			Graduate
7. Welfare Officer -		1	.031	n.s.	1	100	Graduate
8. Engineer	8	27	.085	212.5	2	3.7	"
9. Purchase Officer	6	14	.443	116.6			"
10. Sales Officer	2	6	.158	150			"
11. Material Controller	1	1	.031	-			Post Grad.
12. Quality Control Inspector	11	11	.349	n.s.			Diploma in Engg.
13. Technician	-	2	.063				
14. Technical Assistant	17	27	.85	58.8			M.Sc.
15. Supervisors	63	79	2.47	23.8			Mat.
16. Foreman	39	44	1.392	12.8	1	2.27	Mat.
17. Accountant	30	38	1.202	26.6			Graduate
18. Personal Assistant	1	1	.031	n.s.			"
19. Chemists	7	8	.253	16.29			B.Sc.
20. Nutritionist	-	1	.031				Vet. Sc.
21. Store Incharge	9	10	.31	11.11			Mat.
22. Office Incharge	6	6	.19	-			"
23. Dept. Incharge	2	2	.063	n.s.			"
24. Foundry "	1	1	.031	n.s.			not specific
25. Purchase Assistant	-	-	-	-			-
26. Sales Assistant	2	6	.19	200			Mat.
27. Security Officer -	1		.031	-			"
28. Personal Asstt.	1	1	.031	n.s.			Graduate
29. Development "	-	1	.031	n.s.			Matriculate
30. Store Assistant	19	30	.949	57.8			"
31. Clerk +typist	56	91	2.87	62.5	1	.99	"
32. Clerk +Store Inch.	2	2	.063	n.s.			"

Table V.6

- 2 -

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Stenographer	5	8	.253	60			Mat.
Cashier	1	2	.063	100			Mat.
P.A. to M.D.	2	2	.063	-			Grad.
Foundry Incharge	1	1	.031	n.s.			n.s.
Draughtsman	7	8	.253	14.29	1	12.5	I.T.I.
Turner	113	200	6.3	76.9	21	10.5	Mat.
Die-fitter	16	19	.601	18.75	1	5.26	n.s.
Hammerman	-	1	.031				n.s.
Machine Operators	36	85	2.69	136.1			n.s.
Apprentices	39	45	1.42	15.38			n.s.
Electroplater	3	3	.095	-			n.s.
Pressman	71	81	2.56	18.08	3	3.7	n.s.
Assemblers	12	15	.475	25			n.s.
Fitters	92	145	4.52	57.6	15	10.34	n.s.
Milling man	4	10	.31	150			n.s.
Shaperman	2	4	.126	100			n.s.
Electrician	7	9	.284	28.5			n.s.
Mistry	33	35	1.11	.606			n.s.
Carpenters	12	14	.443	16.66			n.s.
Mechanic	12	12	.38	n.s.	1	8.33	n.s.
Latheman	17	21	.664	23.5	3	14.29	n.s.
Cutter +Beader	8	9	.284	12.5			n.s.
Welder	30	31	.98	3.33	1	3.23	n.s.
Brushmaker	5	6	.19	20			-
Guilderman	7	7	.224	-			n.s.
Wireman	2	5	.158	150			n.s.
Sweepers	25	25	.79	n.s.			n.s.
Peons	19	20	.63	5.26			-
Painter	2	3	.095	50			n.s.
Winder	4	4	.126	-			n.s.
Moulder	28	46	1.46	78.6	7	15.2	n.s.
Chassis Maker	-	3	.095	-			n.s.
Fabricators	15	24	.756	60			n.s.
Pattern Maker	-	1	.031	-			n.s.
Blacksmith	6	8	.253	33.3			n.s.
Die-Maker	1	3	.095	200.	1	33.3	n.s.
Tool-Maker	-	3	.095	-			n.s.
Polisher & Glazer	41	68	2.15	65.8			n.s.
Cone-Maker	-	16	.507	-			n.s.
Grinder	16	15	.475	6.25	3	20	n.s.

Contd...3...

Table V.6

- 3 -

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
73. Bufferman	5	6	.19	20			n.s.
74. Tonesman	18	18	.56	-			n.s.
75. Headerman	5	5	.158	n.s.			n.s.
76. Die-machine man	3	3	.095	n.s.			n.s.
77. Spinningman	1	1	.031	n.s.			n.s.
78. Boiler	11	12	.38	2.09			n.s.
79. Sheet rolling man -		1	.031	n.s.			n.s.
80. Packers	17	17	.538	-			-
81. Tinsplaters	1	1	.031	-			-
82. Ship House Worker	13	15	.475	7.69			n.s.
83. Jolly Workers	17	19	.601	11.76			n.s.
84. Glazers	9	9	.234	-			n.s.
85. Fireman	9	10	.31	11.11	1	10	n.s.
86. Stampers	7	7	.224	-			n.s.
87. Plastic Moulders	8	6	.19	-.25			n.s.
88. Potters	34	29	.91	-14.7			n.s.
89. Card Operators	2	4	.126	100			n.s.
90. Capsule filler	-	3	.095				n.s.
91. Mixerman	20	40	1.26	190	1	2.5	n.s.
92. Tailors	4	4	.126	-			Mat.
93. Vulcanizer	4	4	.126	-			n.s.
94. Printers	5	1	.031	-80			n.s.
95. Compositors	8	9	.284	12.5			Mat.
96. Binders	1	2	.063	190			n.s.
97. Selectors	-	25	.79				n.s.
98. Salters	-	15	.475				n.s.
99. Unskilled labour	1129	1383	43.75	22.5	1	.072	-
100. Tinter	-	-			2		-

n.s. - means not specified.

Table V.7: Type of Additional Manpower Required for Expansion

Sl. No.	Type of Additional Manpower Required for expansion	Industrial Code														Total
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	XIII	XIV	
		Manpower required for each type of Industry														
1.	Engineer						1	1			2		3	1	8	
2.	Production Officer								1						1	
3.	Supervisor									2	2		1	3	4	
4.	Foreman														4	
5.	Chemist						1	1		4					6	
6.	Optician								3						3	
7.	Printers					2									2	
8.	Turner					11			1	7	33	3	1	6	62	
9.	Fitters	1				1		1		2	24	3		2	33	
10.	Die-fitters					1				2	2			5	10	
11.	Die-Makers					2		1		3			1		7	
12.	Latheman					1		1		5	7	1	2	2	19	
13.	Machine Operator		2	10		2	1	6	3	2	26		1	7	60	
14.	Moulder				1	2		3	10	5			2		22	
15.	Boilerman					5				1	3				7	
16.	Weilder					1		5							10	
17.	Wireman												8	10	18	
18.	Drill man													1	1	
19.	Grinderman										27			1	26	
20.	Mixerman						9				1			2	12	

Table V. E

- 2 -

Type of Additional Manpower required for expansion	Industrial Code*														Total
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	XIII	XIV	
	Manpower required for each type of industry														
Pressman						4			4	1			4		13
Milling man										4					5
Polishman			1					2		26	2			7	36
Wigmore man										1					2
Head mistry										2					1
Special skilled man for glass industry															2
Fireman								4							4
Fabricators								2							2
Coating man								3		2					9
Blacksmith											4				5
Packers		20									5				20
Tailors															20
Salter		10													10
Openers		25													25
Selectors		25													25
Compositors					2										2
Book binder					1										1
Proof Reader					1										1
Bufferman									1						1
Helpers	7	2		1	2	33	11	39	23	42				40	193

Table V.8: Course-wise Intake Capacity and Vocational Education and Training Institutions located in Gurgaon district.

<u>S1. No.</u>	<u>Course</u>	<u>Sanctioned Intake Capacity</u>
1.	Diploma in Electrical Machine Appliances	30
2.	Diploma in Electronics	15
3.	Diploma in Machine Tool Operation and Maintenance	30
4.	Diploma in Radio and T.V.	15
5.	Diploma in Refrigeration and Air Conditioning	15
6.	Diploma in Welding and Sheet Metal Technology	15
7.	Blacksmith	16
8.	Carpenter	64
9.	Draughtsman (Civil)	48
10.	Draughtsman (Mech.)	48
11.	Electrician	128
12.	Fitter	192
13.	Lineman	32
14.	Machinist	72
15.	Machinist (Composite)	72
16.	Mechanic (Diesel)	48
17.	Mechanic (General)	15
18.	Mechanic (Instrument)	32
19.	Mechanic (Motor)	64
20.	Mechanic (Radio and T.V.)	48
21.	Mechanic (Refrigeration & Air Conditioning)	32
22.	Mechanic (Tractor)	64
23.	Moulder	48
24.	Painter	16
25.	Plumber	16
26.	Sheet Metal Worker	16
27.	Tractor and Farm Machinery Technology	20
28.	Tool and Die Maker	32
29.	Turner	144
30.	Welder	84
31.	Wireman	32
32.	Certificate Course in Leather Tanning	15

Contd...2...

Table V.3

- 2 -

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Course</u>	<u>Sanctioned Intake Capacity</u>
33.	Cutting and Tailoring	112
34.	Embroidery and Needle Work	54
35.	Hand Composing and Proof Reading	16
36.	Hand Weaving	15
37.	Printing Machine Operator	16
38.	Soap Making	15
39.	Stenography (English)	96
40.	Stenography (Hindi)	32
41.	Auxiliary Nurse Midwifery	64
Total		<u>1,948</u>

limited potential for expansion of employment in this segment of Gurgaon's economy. Vocational courses which might be needed for requirements of this set of non-farm establishments have already been listed in the district feasible list.

V.5 Results of the Impressionistic Survey

Here we present results based on interviews with knowledgeable persons. During the course of these interviews we were also able to get an idea about the main prospective units which are likely to come up in Gurgaon district and their likely implications for vocational courses. The results of this part of our survey cover the ground meant for schedules II & III. The material became available in a form in which it could not be meaningfully tabulated. But the main conclusions were fairly clear and in some ways clearer than can be evident from tabulation.

There are a few very large scale units which are likely to come up soon in the vicinity of Gurgaon town -- work on some has already been started. These are listed below :

- i) A Watch Factory in collaboration with a Swiss firm
- ii) An IDPL unit for the manufacture of drugs and Pharmaceuticals
- iii) A Scooter Manufacturing Factory.
- iv) An Electronics Complex in Dhundhera Industrial Estate.

In addition to these definite proposals, there are two large units fairly likely to come up. These are a large Engineering Works and a factory by Purolator India Ltd. All these industries are of a type which would

require a large variety of inputs to be supplied by ancillary units. Ancillary units to these big prospective concerns thus provide an avenue for self-employment of young entrepreneurs. This part of the district is, therefore, expected to receive a big boost in industrialisation in the coming 5-10 years and skills of a new type are going to be in demand. With this background of prospective industrialisation, the following type of vocational courses appear to be feasible proposition for the future:

- (i) Courses related to precision work for watch manufacture,
- (ii) Course in Electronics.
- (iii) Pharmaceuticals.
- (iv) Scooter plant training.

In addition to these, vocational courses related to a few important existing industries of Gurgaon town area have been suggested to us as being feasible in the light of their expected expansion.

- (i) Rubber Technology
- (ii) Plastic Technology
- (iii) Ceramics - Designing & Technology.
- (iv) Spectacle Frames Making.

The following economic activities and occupations allied to them were reported as decaying ones in the district:

- (i) Soft drinks and industries. Bottled water
- (ii) Cotton spinning Khadi Sect. Hand weaving in the
- (iii) Manufacture of Structural Clay.
- (iv) Manufacture of Movers and Boilers.

require a large variety of inputs to be supplied by ancillary units. Ancillary units to these big prospective concerns thus provide an avenue for self-employment of young entrepreneurs. This part of the district is, therefore, expected to receive a big boost in industrialisation in the coming 5-10 years and skills of a new type are going to be in demand. With this background of prospective industrialisation, the following type of vocational courses appear to be feasible proposition for the future:

- (i) Courses related to precision work for watch manufacture.
- (ii) Course in Electronics.
- (iii) Pharmaceuticals.
- (iv) Scooter plant training.

In addition to these, vocational courses related to a few important existing industries of Gurgaon town area have been suggested to us as being feasible in the light of their expected expansion.

- (i) Rubber Technology
- (ii) Plastic Technology
- (iii) Ceramics - Designing & Technology.
- (iv) Spectacle Frames making.

The following economic activities and occupations allied to them were reported as decaying ones in the district:

- (i) Soft drinks and carbonated water industries.
- (ii) Cotton spinning and weaving in the Khadi sector.
- (iii) Manufacture of Structural Clay.
- (iv) Manufacture of Prime Movers and Boilers.

Finally, it needs to be borne in mind that, as emphasised earlier, the results of this chapter are intended to present mainly a blown up picture of a limited segment of Gurgaon's economy - the organised non-agricultural activities, mainly in the industrial sphere. For an overall picture and its vocational implications, the approach of Chapter III and to some extent that of Chapter IV, it appears to us, has to form the backbone of the methodology of vocation identification, in spite of its limitations. The significance of this may be illustrated by a small illustration. Vocations related to leather industry have not found a mention in the present chapter, even though as section 2 of this chapter brings out, leather based industries occupy quite a prominent position in cottage industries of Gurgaon - a sector which falls outside the frame of the survey field. But the analysis of chapter III had spotted Leather Technology (Course No. 18) as a feasible vocational course for Gurgaon district. This highlights the importance of considering the various approaches experimented with in this study as being complementary rather than mutually exclusive ones.

Table V.9 - Analysis of Commercial Establishment Schedules

<u>Occupation</u> (1)	<u>Minimum qualification required.</u> (2)	<u>Employment in 1974</u> (3)	<u>Employment in 1976</u> (4)	<u>No. of matriculates</u> (5)
1. Executive Engineer or Sub-Divisional Engineer	Engg. Graduate	27	26	26
2. Sectional Officer	Diploma in Civil Engg.	76	76	76
3. Clerks	Matriculate	188	184	184
4. Accountant cum Auditor	Matriculate	24	24	24
5. Draughtsman	I.T.I.	18	17	17
6. Steno-typist	Stenography	16	16	16
7. District Welfare Officer	Graduate	1	1	1
8. Tehsil Welfare Officer	Matriculate	5	5	5
9. Social Workers	Matriculate	9	9	9
10. Trainers	Matriculate	2	2	2
11. Road Inspectors	Matriculate	11	11	11
12. Port. Mistry	Matriculate	4	2	2
13. Peons	-	88	84	2
14. Ferro-Malasi	-	3	3	-
15. Chowkidar	-	31	30	-
16. Sweepers	-	13	21	-
17. Electrician	-	-	3	-
18. Executive Officer	Graduate	5	5	5
19. Statistical Assistant	Post Graduate	1	1	1
20. Beldars	-	30	30	-
21. Store-Keeper	Matriculate	1	1	1
Line Superintendent & Asstt. Foreman	Dip. in ITI	30	23	23
"	Middle Class (ITI)	10	8	-

Table V.9

- 2 -

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
24. Block Development Graduate Panchayat Officer		1	1	1
25. Drivers	-	7	7	7
26. Social Education Purchase Officer	Matriculate	1	1	1
27. Village Secretary	Matriculate	14	14	14
28. Chairman	Not fixed	1	1	1
29. Educational Officer B.A. (B. Ed)		28	28	28
30. Science Specialist B.Sc (B. Ed)		1	1	1
31. Superintendent	Matriculate	1	1	1
32. Computer Operator	Matriculate	5	5	5
33. Principals	B.A. (B. Ed)	18	18	18
34. Head Masters and Head Mistresses	B.A. (B. Ed)	104	104	104
35. Masters & Mistresses	-do-	1450	1450	1450
36. Junior Basic Training Teachers	Matriculates	5292	5292	5292
37. Crafts & Arts Teachers	Matriculates	813	813	813
38. Carpenters	-	1	1	-

[illegible]

District		Vocation Code (as given in Table II.7)																			
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
GUJARAT																					
Baroda (M)	R				X	X			X	X		X		X							
	U		X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X		X				X			X
Banas Kantha (M)	R					X			X	X											
	U					X															
Anreli (M)	R					X															
	U					X			X			X									
Surat (M)	R		X		X	X			X	X		X					X	X			
	U		X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X						X			
Sundernagar (M)	R								X												
	U		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X				X			
Khairā (M)	R																				
	U																				
Ahmedabad (IH)	R				X	X		X	X	X		X					X				X
	U		X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X		X				X		X	X
Valsad	R		X		X	X		X	X	X		X						X			
	U		X	X		X	X		X			X						X		X	
Kutch (M)	R				X	X				X		X									
	U				X				X			X									
Sabarkanta (L)	R				X			X	X		X			X						X	
	U				X																
Rajkot (H)	R				X	X		X	X		X										
	U		X	X	X	X	X	X			X						X				
Jamnagar (H)	R					X															
	U		X	X	X	X	X		X			X		X				X			
Broach (L)	R					X															
	U			X		X			X			X						X			
Panchmahal (L)	R			X	X	X	X		X	X		X			X						
	U				X	X			X			X									
Bhavnagar (H)	R				X	X			X	X		X									
	U		X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X		X				X			X
Gandhinagar (IH)	R					X			X												
	U								X												
Kheda (M)	R		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X				X			X
	U		X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X		X				X			X
Mehsana (H)	R		X		X	X	X	X	X			X		X				X		X	X
	U		X	X	X	X	X		X			X		X				X		X	X
Dangas (VL)	R				X																
	U																				

Table IIITotal No. of
feasible courses

<u>District</u>		33	34	35	51	
Baroda	R					22
(M)	U					31
Banas	R					7
Kantha	U					6
(M)						
Amreli	R					2
(M)	U					7
Surat	R					20
(M)	U					18
Sundernagar	R					4
(M)	U					24
Ahmedabad	R					13
(IH)	U					32
Valsad	R					20
	U					21
Kutch	R					7
(M)	U			1		9 9
Sabarkanta	R			1		15
(L)	U			1		7
Rajkot	R				x	14
(H)	U			1	x	23
Jamnagar	R					5
(H)	U			1		21
Broach	R					1
(L)	U			1		13
Panchmahal	R			x		17
(L)	U			x		11
Bhavnagar	R			x		10
(H)	U			x		24
Gandhinagar	R					4
(IH)	U					2
Kheda	R		x	x		26
(M)	U			x		25
Mehsana	R	x	x	x		27
(H)	U			x		25
Dangas	R					1
(VL)	U					x

Table III.8

[illegible]

4.

[illegible]

Table III.

Total No. of
feasible Courses

<u>District</u>		33	34	35	36	37	51
<u>UTTAR PRADESH</u>							
Mirzapur (M)	R				x		13
	U				x		17
Jhansi (M)	R				-		6
	U						16
Badam (L)	R						16
	U						3
Meerut (VH)	R		x			x	24
	U						
Lucknow (VH)	R				x		12
	U				x		20
Ghazipur (M)	R				x	x	15
	U						7
Shahjahanpur (M)	R				x		10
	U				x		11
Sultanpur (L)	R					x	16
	U						5
Kanpur (VH)	R		x		x		19
	U				x		27
Agra (VH)	R						13
	U				x		23
Bahraich (L)	R						8
	U						9
Chamauli (VL)	R						10
	U						2
Pithoragarh (L)	R						8
	U						2
Tehri Garhwal (L)	R						5
	U						2
Uttar Kashi (L)	R						3
	R						2
Dehradun (VH)	R						4
	U				x		18
Jaunpur (L)	R				x	x	25
	U	x			x		12

<u>District</u>		1	2	3	4	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
<u>MAHARASHTRA</u>															
Akola (V)	R	x			x	x									
	U		x		x		x								
Amravati (V)	R				x		x								
	U	x		x	x		x								
Jalgaon (M)	R		x		x	x	x	x	x		x				
	U		x	x	x										
Osmanabad (V)	R	x	x		x										
	U	x		x	x										
Nanded (V)	R	x			x	x									
	U			x	x										
Parbhani (V)	R	x	x												
	U	x		x											
Kolaba (V)	R	x	x	x	x						x	x			
	U	x		x						x	x				x
Nagpur (VH)	R	x			x		x								
	U		x	x	x	x	x				x	x		x	x
Nasik (M)	R	x	x	x	x										x
	U	x	x	x	x		x			x				x	x
Kolhapur (M)	R	x	x	x	x										
	U		x	x	x									x	
Thana (H)	R		x	x											
	U		x	x	x		x				x	x			x
Wardha (V)	R	x													
	U		x	x	x										
Bhandara (M)	R	x			x										
	U		x	x	x										
Bhir (V)	R	x	x		x										
	U			x											
Buldana (V)	R	x	x		x										
	U			x											
Chandrapura	R	x		x	x										
	U			x											
G. Bombay (E.H)	U	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x	x	x	x
Ahmednagar	R	x	x	x	x		x	x	x		x				x

District	1	2	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
----------	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

JAMMU & KASHMIR

Rajouri	R													
	U													
Udampur	R													
(L)	U													
Ladakh	R													
	U													
Kathua	R													
(L)	U													
Srinagar	R													
(VH)	U	x	x			x								
Jammu	R	x	x											
(H)	U	x	x	x										
Anantnag	R													
(L)	U													
Poonch	R													
(L)	U													
Baramulla	R													
(L)	U													
Doda	R													
(L)	U													

HIMACHAL PRADESH

Bilaspur	R	
	U	
Simla	R	x
	U	

Contd...8....

Table III-8

-10-

District	-----Vocation Code -----																		
	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51
<u>HARYANA</u>																			
Rohtak (M)	R							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
	U			X				X	X	X	X		X			X			
Ambala (VH)	R		X	X				X		X	X		X	X	X				
	U			X				X	X	X	X		X			X	X		
Mahendragarh (L)	R			X							X		X						
	U			X				X		X	X		X			X			
Karnal (H)	R			X	X			X		X	X		X	X	X				
	U			X				X		X	X		X			X			
Gurgaon (M)	R			X	X			X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
	U			X				X		X	X	X	X			X			
Jind	R			X				X		X	X		X	X	X				
	U			X				X	X	X	X	X	X			X			
Hissar (M)	R			X	X			X		X	X		X			X		X	
	U			X				X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	
